

Town Council Members

Jerry Countryman – 2013 ~ Janet Critz – 2013 ~ Lundeen Cureton – 2015

Peggy Neill – 2015 ~ Melody LaMonica – 2013

**Town of Mineral Springs
Mineral Springs Town Hall
3506 S Potter Road ~ Mineral Springs
Town Council
Regular Meeting
November 14, 2013 ~ 7:30 PM**

Agenda

1. Opening

The meeting will be called to order, an invocation will be delivered and the Pledge of Allegiance will be recited.

2. Public Hearing – Proposed Text Amendments

3. Public Comments

The Town Council will hear comments from members of the public on any matters of interest to them during this ten-minute period.

4. Consent Agenda

- A. September 12, 2013 Regular Meeting Minutes, September 30, 2013 Special Meeting Minutes, October 7, 2013 Special Meeting Minutes and October 10, 2013 Regular Meeting Minutes
- B. September 2013 Tax Collector's Report
- C. Tax Refund
- D. September 2013 Finance Report

5. Consideration of Securing a Collection Agency to Collect Delinquent Taxes

The council will consider securing a collection agency to collect delinquent taxes as presented by Delinquent Tax Collector Janet Ridings.

6. Consideration of Becoming a Purple Heart Town

The council will consider becoming a Purple Heart Town as requested by Ronald Wade.

7. Discussion of Improvements to the NC-75 – McNeely Road Intersection

Councilwoman Critz will lead a discussion about improving site distance at this intersection, which had been approved by NCDOT in 2004 and never completed.

8. Consideration of a Mineral Springs 15th Anniversary Festival

The council will continue their discussion and consideration of scheduling a Mineral Springs Festival to celebrate the town's 15th Anniversary.

9. Consideration of Purchasing an Additional Christmas Decoration

The council will consider purchasing an additional Christmas decoration to be located on a pole in front of the Mineral Springs Volunteer Fire Department.

10. **Consideration to the Proposed Text Amendments**

The council will consider adopting the proposed text amendments which were the topic of tonight's public hearing.

11. **Discussion and Consideration of Setting Newsletter Dates**

The council will discuss and consider how many times per year the newsletter should be published.

12. **Consideration of Using the Town Hall for a "Coffee with the Mayor" Bi-Monthly Event**

The council will consider authorizing the use of the Mineral Springs Town Hall for a "Coffee with the Mayor" bi-Monthly event.

13. **Consideration of Establishing a Plan for a Updated Town Survey**

The council will consider establishing a plan for producing a new town survey.

14. **Other Business**

15. **Adjournment**

Town of Mineral Springs
Town Hall
3506 S. Potter Road
Town Council
Regular Meeting
September 12, 2013 ~ 7:30 PM

Minutes

The Town Council of the Town of Mineral Springs, North Carolina, met in Regular Session at the Mineral Springs Town Hall, Mineral Springs, North Carolina, at 7:30 p.m. on Thursday, September 12, 2013.

Present: Mayor Frederick Becker III, Councilman Jerry Countryman, Councilwoman Janet Critz, Councilwoman Lundeen Cureton, Councilwoman Peggy Neill, Town Clerk/Zoning Administrator Vicky Brooks and Deputy Town Clerk/Tax Collector Janet Ridings.

Absent: Mayor Pro Tem Valerie Coffey, Attorney Bobby Griffin and Councilwoman Melody LaMonica.

Visitors: Mark Brody and Donald Gaddy.

With a quorum present Mayor Frederick Becker called the Regular Town Council Meeting of September 12, 2013 to order at 7:34 p.m.

1. **Opening**

- Councilwoman Cureton delivered the invocation.
- Pledge of Allegiance.

2. **Public Comments**

- Donald Gaddy – Mineral Springs Volunteer Fire & Rescue Department.
- Mark Brody – District 55 House Representative.

3. **Consent Agenda**

- **Councilwoman Cureton** made a **motion** to approve the consent agenda as presented containing the following:
 - A. August 8, 2013 Regular Meeting Minutes
 - B. July 2013 Tax Collector's Report
 - C. Tax Release
 - D. July 2013 Finance Report

and **Councilwoman Neill** seconded. The motion passed unanimously as follows:

Ayes: Countryman, Critz, Cureton and Neill
Nays: None

4. **Consideration of a Resolution about the Monroe Connector/Bypass**

- Mayor Becker introduced Ms. Kym Hunter and Ms. Kate Asquith from Southern Environmental Law Center who has been very involved with the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT), the North Carolina Transportation Authority (NCTA) and the Monroe Bypass project. Ms. Hunter explained that she and her colleague Ms. Asquith work exclusively on transportation issues throughout North Carolina and as attorneys they have been involved with the Monroe Bypass litigation, which went through the Fourth Circuit in 2012 and they prevailed in that case. Ms. Hunter commented that she is a registered lobbyist and has been working very closely on the passage of the recent overhaul of the North Carolina Transportation Funding. Ms. Hunter and Ms. Asquith work with a lot of agencies and have been working in Union County with a lot of different municipalities and local leaders on getting the facts out there, looking at alternative solutions and trying to make sure that everybody is on the same page and is working with the same set of facts when it comes to the Monroe Bypass and what its purpose is, what its aims are and what it is supposed to do. They want to make sure that the people in Union County have the same understanding of what the Monroe Bypass is supposed to accomplish as the people in Raleigh and the legislature have; this is what they have been working on for the past year since they prevailed in that case almost a year and a half ago.
- Ms. Hunter explained that Mayor Becker had put together a PowerPoint presentation that she was willing to present the first half of and to offer the expertise that she could. Ms. Hunter is also willing to answer any questions from the council at any time. Mayor Becker is going to pick it [presentation] up at the end.
- The presentation is as follows:
 - How Should NCDOT Spend Your Money? Ms. Hunter commented that this is taxpayer money and it should be like all taxpayer money by hopefully being put to the best use.
 - Which Does Union County Need More? Should it be an \$800 million new highway or should it be fixing some of the problems we have first?
 - According to the NCDOT 2040 Plan (which was put out in 2012 by NCDOT): North Carolina will have \$122 billion in transportation needs for the next 30 years. Ms. Hunter commented that she believed that number is quite inflated, which includes the Monroe Bypass (for example), which may not be necessary or what she would call a need. “We have different ideas about what needs are, but certainly that is the figure that DOT has put out and DOT is responsible for a huge chunk of that”. With people driving less and cars getting increasingly more efficient, the projected revenues are going down. The fuel tax has not been raised; people are driving less, so revenues are going down, meanwhile the State’s population is increasing massively, which results in a projected \$60 billion funding gap and none of the legislation passed this session addresses that. There has been no real suggestion of raising new revenues at this point. We all know that US-74 is one of the biggest problem roads in North Carolina with huge congestion problems at certain times of the day and certain days of the year, but the question we’ve been looking at is: is there a more cost

- effective way to fix that problem other than the proposed Monroe Bypass/Connector?
- Proposed Monroe Bypass/Connector: A map showing the proposed toll road was shown; it fits in at Interstate 485 and it exits out between Wingate and Marshville. The proposed Monroe Bypass/Connector is about 20 miles long and is a toll road. Ms. Hunter stated that she did not know if the preliminary toll estimates would still stand; she imagined that they might be a little pricier now, because these [estimates] were done in 2009. The preliminary toll estimates were \$2.50 one way for a car and more than \$10 for a large truck one way; these would go up every year. The proposed Monroe Bypass/Connector cuts through a huge undeveloped beautiful area of Union County and historic farms (land that has been in people's families for many generations). It would have nine interchanges when you include the interchanges on either end and it seems very likely to Ms. Hunter that what would grow up around those interchanges would be a lot of sprawling development. The total construction cost including all of the right-of-way is about \$800 million, but it could be approaching \$1 billion. The State has set aside "gap funding"; one of the important things to know about the toll road is that although tolls will be charged, the tolls are projected to only cover about 30% of the cost of the road, so the legislature has pledged to spend 24 million dollars each year for up to 40 years for this road.
 - Monroe Bypass/Connector mired in fraud and deception: the project has unfortunately had "sort of" a notorious history, which led to Southern Environmental Center's legal victory in 2012. There is an environmental study where the NCDOT was supposed to look at what is going to happen if this road is built and in order to do that they have to look at a picture of what the future would look like with the road and what the future would look like without the road. What NCDOT essentially did was compare building the road with building the road instead of comparing not building the road with building the road. That was confirmed by the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, which is the second highest court in the nation. The court stated that by doing so, "the Agencies assertedly conflated the 'no build' and 'build' scenarios making it impossible to accurately isolate and assess the environmental impacts of the Monroe Bypass". When we talk about environmental impact we're not just talking about mussels or water quality, we are talking about the human impact. Such as how much growth and development, how many additional taxpayers or what is the school system going to look like. There is a huge variety of different impacts that you cannot look at if you do not do the study properly.
 - NCDOT attempted to convince the Court to "overlook" their falsified data: not only did NCDOT make the error, but they knew they had done that and they falsified their data (essentially). At the District Court, the Agencies acknowledged that they had used data which had this significant flaw in it. They said "well now we have admitted it, even though we denied it for years and years, now we have admitted it, it is not a problem"; the Court was not very impressed with that argument. The Court said "the Agencies now admit that the administrative record mischaracterizes the 'no build' data. Such an acknowledgement made during litigation does not change the fact that the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) process itself relied on these mischaracterizations". What the Court did after that was to send it back to the

Agency and say "it is not enough to just admit this now; you've got to admit that to the public and let the public be a part of that decision making process". The whole point of this NEPA Statute (environmental review) is that the public plays a role and they get to choose what the best outcome is for the community.

- Other inconsistencies and flaws: in 2009 they knew they had to give a cursory look at alternatives, so they commissioned a study, which was geared to tolling, so they didn't really consider any road other than a road that could be tolled. The main alternative they looked at was widening US 74 to a 10 lane highway that would wipe out 500 businesses and would cost so much money that the alternative was eliminated. What they failed to look at was something more small scale and combinations of small scale alternatives; the alternative was like a "straw man" alternative. What got the Southern Environmental Law Center interested in this project was that the environmental review of the bypass says that it will have less than 1% impact on growth in Union County. This is their continued claim, when everyone else that Ms. Hunter has spoken with in Union County says the reverse. The Union County Chamber of Commerce asked a number of localities to pass resolutions saying that if the bypass doesn't come it will delay progress and the bypass is needed for growth. Indian Trail just completed a new Land Use Plan showing how much they expect the bypass to cause in population increases. Marshville has done the same thing. Southern Environmental Law Center has repeatedly asked DOT (not saying that growth is bad) "is this going to bring growth or is it not?". DOT needs to be consistent about that and if it is going to bring growth then "let's talk about that" and "let's plan for that" and "let's permit for that" and "let's admit that", but DOT is sticking to their story that building a 20 mile new-location toll road right through Union County is going to essentially have no impact on growth. Councilwoman Critz asked Ms. Hunter how they look at road improvement/widening/new road and how do they assess that type. Ms. Hunter responded that they do what's called an indirect and cumulative effects analysis; they would usually commission someone from a university. One part of it is talking to local planners to see how they anticipate how this is going to change their town. Another part is looking at if this is going to decrease travel times, then we would expect "X" amount of people to be living out where the travel time is decreased. This is required for any large scale transportation project that has Federal money, so it is a commonly performed analysis. Ms. Hunter commented that frankly speaking it would appear that they refused to admit that here because they know that is going to cause them trouble again. DOT (subsequent to their environmental document) still admits that they have no clear idea how many commercial vehicles (i.e. trucks) would pay the toll, even though it is often thrown out there as a reason we need this bypass is to take the trucks off US 74. The Southern Environmental Law Center commissioned an expert engineer and report last year, which was recently published. Those engineers looked at some of the DOT studies and the biggest flaw they found was that DOT has not done a comprehensive "Origin-Destination" Study. This study would look at who is using US 74 – where are the people coming from and where are they going? It is important to know how much of the traffic is local – if people are coming from Wingate going to Monroe then they are not going to use the bypass. Without knowing that information, it is impossible to know how helpful the bypass is going to be in alleviating

congestion on Highway 74 and if anyone is going to be paying the toll that is apparently going to make this road financially viable. Ms. Hunter commented that the worst part is that DOT admits that the bypass is not expected or intended to improve traffic on US Highway 74.

- And finally....: In a meeting where Union County was putting together the Corridor Revitalization Study for US 74, they interviewed a number of people from the Turnpike Authority. Staffer Jennifer Harris, said “the bypass was not planned with the idea to improve or address issues on US 74” and another staffer said he does not expect to see any dramatic improvements to the level of service on US 74 after the bypass opens. The worst part here is that Ms. Harris said that “DOT in fact would not be in favor of changes to US 74 that would have a competing interest with the bypass”. Ms. Hunter commented “in other words, the DOT would prefer that 74 stayed fairly congested, because otherwise who is going to pay the toll on the bypass?”.
- What should NCDOT do: Since the court ruled the whole environmental review process should be out there and open, all alternatives are legally supposed to be back on the table; therefore, we should now be looking at what the alternative solutions are and the public has an important role to play in that. We can consider some of the existing parallel roads, not just improving US 74 itself, but improving the roads which are already there that run parallel to US 74. DOT has a study from 2007, which was meant as a stopgap to look at while the bypass was being built in order to upgrade US 74, but it had some great suggestions that could be implemented. One of them was putting a "superstreet" intersection in Indian Trail; it was recently found that that is going to be implemented and it will hopefully make a great difference to US 74. The 2007 study found that for only 13 million dollars rather than 800 million dollars you could make improvement, which would provide what Ms. Hunter thought was an acceptable level of service for the majority of the corridor from Stallings to US 601.
- Improvements to parallel routes: Ms. Hunter directed the council to the PowerPoint slides that Mayor Becker put together and explained that there are some parallel roads that could be improved, which would be far less expensive than a 20 mile bypass. Old Monroe Road has been scheduled for improvements that keep being delayed, which is a potential road for Mineral Springs’ residents to use. Secrest Shortcut Road is another road that could be really helpful and it runs close to the bypass; it could certainly solve a lot of problems in the Indian Trail area. There are all sorts of small scale fixes which together could have a huge impact. We could improve the timing of the traffic lights. We can implement these "superstreets", which essentially eliminate left turns. We can add more overpasses rather than interchanges. We can add additional lanes or turn lanes to avoid some of the congestion that happens when people are turning. We can improve service roads so that we are kind of stitching together local businesses. A lot of that traffic could be local traffic; if you are keeping that local traffic that is just going from store to store off of Highway 74 then you are really helping with the problem. With fewer side streets crossing Highway 74 directly it will be more of a thoroughfare.
- Superstreet Intersections (map): Ms. Hunter pointed out the picture of a superstreet and explained that it looks a little complicated and it doesn’t look like it is going to be faster, but not only is it significantly faster, it is a lot safer,

because it reduces a lot of the conflict points, so that you are not crossing traffic, you are merging. Mayor Becker added that Scott Cole (a local DOT district engineer) had done a couple of presentations about superstreet intersections and he was really able to explain it. Mayor Becker commented that he didn't know why DOT hasn't considered the superstreets (for Highway 74), because they really work on these types of roads that serve a lot of in and out traffic. Ms. Hunter noted that there are some going in Indian Trail. Service roads are an important potential solution; it stitches up all those local businesses, so that you can keep people off the main road. There are parts of Highway 74 (on the other side of the beltway) that were being considered to be an expressway, which would destroy local businesses, which was part of the old plan, but now they are thinking of more express lanes and different types of access, so that you still get a vibrant corridor while creating a smoother path for the through traffic.

- US-74 in Mecklenburg County: Ms. Hunter referred to a recent quote on this topic from Highway Department Spokeswoman Jennifer Thompson who said "highway planners have joined with their counterparts from the city and other parts of Mecklenburg County to plan a broad future for the freeway corridor. The state envisions many of the businesses and new parks moving to the side streets where they'd be reachable by foot or bike." Mayor Becker commented that based on those quotes from Jennifer Thompson if you go to Charlotte on Highway 74 past Albemarle you get to the "true" expressway, which they have been building for 20 years. It is great when it is not backed up at rush hour, it moves smoothly, but the businesses are all gone, they got wiped out and concrete walls were put up. That is becoming a problem; it is too expensive to acquire land, because the prices have gotten so high. The last piece they bought was approximately 26 million dollars for the last interchange, which was the highest they paid for a piece that size in history on Highway 74. Now, Mecklenburg County and the NCDOT are looking at putting a stop to the expressway idea and moving businesses to the side, instead they are going to move the access to the businesses. The whole point was to stop buying this land up, shutting down these businesses, tearing down all the buildings and leaving nothing. Instead, they will access them differently and have some express lanes that go by, just like they could do in Monroe, Indian Trail and Stallings.
- Other Union County Municipalities: Ms. Hunter explained that they had been working with a lot of other municipalities; Hemby Bridge adopted their resolution in June opposing the construction of the bypass and urging the DOT to investigate alternative solutions. Weddington also adopted a resolution which supports and encourages DOT to research and consider alternatives to the bypass.
- Mayor Becker noted that the council had seen the resolution and asked the council if they wanted to go on record taking a position of asking the DOT to do something [else]; the bullet points speak for themselves. The State is broke and we've already proven that the Highway Fund is going broke, because the gas taxes just can't keep [going up], the gas revenues are dropping like a stone as mileage increases and driving decreases. It [bypass] is a lot of money in a declining revenue scenario and that is money that Union County, Mecklenburg County, Mineral Springs and Monroe don't have to deal with problems, whether they are

safety problems or bad intersections; that is money that is being taken away from the local road needs. Another concern is if that bypass connector does spur massive new commuter subdivision growth at those seven to nine interchanges then the 500 million dollars in school bonds that we are paying right now will just be a drop in the bucket compared to what could happen. Union County would have to build the infrastructure for those seven to nine commuter subdivisions. Do we really want to develop a road that way (developing something to lure more Charlotte commuters in) rather than develop transportation solutions for Union County? This is an important consideration for every municipality and every taxpayer. Councilwoman Neill pointed out that they had lived in the Dallas/Fort Worth area in Texas where they had put in more than nine interchanges and the growth was over 200,000 in 20 years, so she questioned how the DOT study could say the growth would be less than 1%. Mayor Becker pointed out that if you look at the Interstate 485 interchanges, every one of them has developed into huge apartment complexes that go into huge high density residential subdivision. Union County and Mecklenburg County have got a little bit of a different political philosophy; Mecklenburg is borrowing, so they are raising their taxes to support all of this non-revenue-generating growth. Union County has a different philosophy about taxes, they like to keep them low, but we are starting to bump up against that debt limit. Eventually, the breaking point comes and the next thing you know, we'll be doing what Mecklenburg County is doing, which is raising taxes every year to support all that new growth. We have to be careful about putting in multi-lane commuter-based highways that will encourage non-revenue-generating development.

- Mayor Becker pointed out that he was not recommending that Mineral Springs oppose this road (like Hemby Bridge is), but that we are saying "please look at a better way to spend the money where we get more 'bang for the buck'". If the council believes the resolution reflects what the town's thinking is on the need for alternatives and the need for looking for alternative then they could adopt it.
- **Councilwoman Critz** made a **motion** to accept the resolution expressing support for alternatives to the construction of the Monroe Connector Bypass, this is R2013-04. (Mayor Becker: and it may be R2013-04) Now, therefore be it resolved that the Mineral Springs Town Council hereby encourages the NC DOT to research/consider and implement lower cost alternatives to the bypass that will provide more effective solutions to current traffic congestion problems on US 74 and that will conserve scarce [Councilwoman Critz said "sacred"] state transportation dollars so that those dollars may be better utilized to improve and maintain the existing transportation system throughout Union County and the State of North Carolina, adopted on this day the 12th of September 2013. Mayor Becker corrected the pronunciation of the word "sacred" to "scarce" and commented that even though those are scarce transportation dollars, they are getting so scarce they may be sacred before long. **Councilwoman Neill** seconded the aforementioned motion by Councilwoman Critz. Mayor Becker noted that there was a numbering problem; this Resolution is actually R-2013-04. *The motion passed unanimously as follows:*

Ayes: Countryman, Critz, Cureton and Neill

Nays: None

- Mayor Becker commented that this doesn't change anything, but we certainly want to get our feelings out to our Representatives and maybe we can get something happening.
- The resolution is as follows:

TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS

**RESOLUTION EXPRESSING SUPPORT FOR ALTERNATIVES TO
CONSTRUCTION OF THE MONROE CONNECTOR/BYPASS**

R-2013-04

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Department of Transportation ("NCDOT"), through its subsidiary agency the North Carolina Turnpike Authority ("NCTA"), has proposed to construct and operate a 19.7-mile toll highway in Union County known as the Monroe Connector/Bypass ("Bypass"); and

WHEREAS, all work on the Bypass project has been suspended as a result of a decision by the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit on May 3, 2012 that found that NCDOT had "mischaracterized" major underlying assumptions in its final Environmental Impact Statement, had "provided the public with erroneous information", and was guilty of "falsely responding to public concerns"; and

WHEREAS, the Bypass was projected to cost \$797,369,452 as of 2010 based on a 2014 completion date, with that estimate continuing to increase; and

WHEREAS, the North Carolina General Assembly in 2008 authorized the appropriation of \$24 million per year in "gap funding" to pay debt service on the Bypass and has continued to reauthorize this appropriation in every subsequent budget cycle; and

WHEREAS, this \$24 million per year comes directly out of the North Carolina Highway Trust Fund, thereby making that money unavailable for other pressing road construction and maintenance projects; and

WHEREAS, North Carolina officials have repeatedly asserted that the state does not have enough road construction and maintenance money available to meet current needs; and

WHEREAS, the NCDOT "2040 Plan" released in August 2012 estimates that North Carolina will suffer a cumulative shortfall of \$60.08 billion dollars in transportation funding over the next 30 years; and

WHEREAS, NCDOT has repeatedly asserted that the Bypass was not planned with the idea to improve or address issues on US-74, and that it is not expected to significantly reduce travel times on US-74; and

WHEREAS, NCDOT has never completed a thorough "Origin-Destination" study to determine exactly what percentage of truck traffic on US-74 represents "through" traffic which might utilize the Bypass rather than local traffic which would not; and

WHEREAS, NCDOT's studies have found that the commercial trucking industry is "split" on whether commercial trucks would even be willing to pay a toll that is projected to exceed ten dollars for Class 3 multi-axle trucks to utilize the 19.7-mile bypass; and

WHEREAS, a study conducted for NCDOT in July 2007 by Stantec Consulting Services of Charlotte suggested improvements to US-74 at an estimated cost of \$13.2 million that would improve Level of Service at 22 out of 23 existing signalized intersections to above a "failing" grade; and

WHEREAS, other possible more elaborate improvements to US-74 above and beyond those suggested by Stantec, such as grade separations, additional lanes, service roads, and other changes that could still be implemented for less than the total cost of the proposed Bypass were never adequately considered by NCDOT; and

WHEREAS, the beneficial effects of improvements to other existing parallel routes near the US-74 corridor such as Old Charlotte Highway/Old Monroe Road and Secret Short Cut Road, and of construction of new parallel routes, such as the already-planned Monroe Road Loop, were never adequately considered by NCDOT; and

WHEREAS, it is the opinion of the Town Council of the Town of Mineral Springs that, in an era of shrinking transportation revenues and growing transportation needs throughout North Carolina, particularly in Union County, NCDOT should endeavor to spend those limited revenues in the most cost-effective manner possible and should commit to expediting those transportation projects that provide the greatest immediate benefit to the citizens of North Carolina;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Mineral Springs Town Council hereby encourages the NCDOT to research, consider, and implement lower-cost alternatives to the Bypass that will provide more effective solutions to current traffic congestion problems on US-74 and that will conserve scarce state transportation dollars so that those dollars may be

better utilized to improve and maintain the existing transportation system throughout Union County and the State of North Carolina.

ADOPTED, this the 12th day of September, 2013.

Frederick Becker, III
Frederick Becker III, Mayor

Attest:

Vicky A Brooks
Vicky A. Brooks, Town Clerk

5. **Consideration of Accepting a Bid for the Town Hall Parking Lot Sealing and Striping**

- Mayor Becker explained that we got almost no response on the sealcoating advertising, but finally one guy came in at the very last minute in response to the ad. Mayor Becker had told the only bidder that he was going to accept a proposal, but just because he was the only bidder didn't mean that he could make it sky high since Mayor Becker knew what this stuff should cost and he would not recommend to the council without checking into whether it is a good price. Addison Plyler from Plyler Asphalt Seal Coating Solutions was the only one that came for the mandatory pre-bid site visit and he gave a good accounting of what his company does and his part of Plyler Grading Company. It is a family business that has a lot of experience in the city. Mayor Becker was able to look at the jobs that were put in as references (pictures were included in the agenda packet).
- **Councilman Countryman made a motion to approve the bid and present the contract to this bidder.** Mayor Becker responded very good, "cut to the chase as long as you guy are all satisfied". **Councilwoman Cureton seconded the motion made by Councilman Countryman.** Mayor Becker commented that the council would just make a note in the bid to add the e-verify language to the contract. Councilman Countryman commented that he thought it was important to note that the bid came in at \$0.19 a square foot, which is \$0.06 below the high norm and that also includes the cost of striping the parking lot; it really is a very viable bid and very cost effective. Mayor Becker thanked Councilman Countryman for pointing that out, because it is important; Mr. Plyler definitely gave the town a very fair, very carefully economically developed bid. *The aforementioned motion by Councilman Countryman passed unanimously as follows:*

Ayes: Countryman, Critz, Cureton and Neill

Nays: None

6. **Consideration of Utilizing the Town Hall for a Candidates' Forum**

- Town Clerk Vicky Brooks explained that Ms. Virginia Bjorlin from the League of Women Voters contacted her and asked about a Candidates' Forum. Ms. Bjorlin informed Ms. Brooks that Western Union Elementary School now charges and that the "town" needed to find somewhere else to hold the Candidates' Forum, which is why Ms. Brooks placed this item on the agenda for council consideration to determine whether or not the council would like to hold a Candidates Forum here at

town hall or if the candidates themselves need to find somewhere to hold their forum. Ms. Brooks reminded the council that back in September of 2009 there was a request from a candidate to use the town hall and after a lot of discussion the council determined that the town hall would not be used for a Candidates' Forum. Ms. Brooks explained that the town has an ordinance on town hall policy and the last line says "Meetings and uses by all persons or entities must be specifically approved by the Mineral Springs Town Council"; therefore, the League of Women Voters being a nonprofit agency here in Union County, she felt it was her job to present it to the council so that they could make that determination. Ms. Brooks added that she is not "setting up" a forum; she will have no part of it and does not like being accused of it. Councilwoman Critz mentioned that it is certainly not a practice for candidates to hold their own forums; there is always a third party that sets up and moderates. The League of Women Voters has graciously done this throughout Union County for 15 plus years; they have been in existence in Union County for over a half of a century. Personally, Councilwoman Critz would make a motion and recommend to the rest of the council that we not hold the forum here. Councilwoman Critz pointed out Chief Gaddy in the audience and mentioned that in the past let it be known that the fire department is available to us for various purposes. The last forum was held at the elementary school and it was moderated by the League of Women Voters, but Councilwoman Critz didn't think we need to be spending taxpayer dollars to lease the elementary school. Councilwoman Critz requested an affirmative from Chief Gaddy if the fire department is available (Chief Gaddy confirmed it is available). Councilwoman Critz mentioned that the fire department will provide a community location that all of our constituents are certainly aware of where it exists; therefore Councilwoman Critz would like to make a motion that we not make the town hall available for this forum, that we proceed with coordinating it. Mayor Becker interrupted and recommended that it be left at that, because that is really the only issue that we as a town council are addressing. Councilwoman Critz questioned that they can proceed independently until there is an actual date with Chief Gaddy and Ms. Bjorlin. Ms. Brooks noted that is on their own; the only question right here before this board is whether the town hall can be used for the forum. Mayor Becker suggested that the motion is to say the town council would not authorize. Councilwoman Critz noted that it is not consistent with what we have done in the past.

- **Councilwoman Critz** made a **motion** not to authorize use of the town hall for a Candidates' Forum by the League of Women Voters or anybody else for that matter. Councilman Countryman added "or in fact change the statute now". Councilwoman Critz concurred. Mayor Becker agreed that the council wouldn't want to change our ordinance. Councilwoman Neill commented that the motion is about the location. Mayor Becker responded exactly, not to use the town hall for that purpose. **Councilman Countryman** seconded the motion made by Councilwoman Critz. The motion passed unanimously as follows:

Ayes: Countryman, Critz, Cureton and Neill

Nays: None

- Ms. Brooks noted that if another nonprofit agency contacts her and requests that they be allowed to use the town hall, she would again... Councilman Countryman

continued with they would face the same scrutiny. Ms. Brooks added that she would be obligated to bring it before this council as long as that line is in our ordinance. Mayor Becker mentioned that Attorney Griffin did advise, in 2009, and the council agreed to leave that in; “we are not going to pre-close the town hall”. It will always be at this boards’ discretion.

7. Proclamation for Constitution Week

- Mayor Becker explained that September 17th through the 23rd is always recognized as Constitution Week. Mayor Becker stated that he always likes to have the town council “sign off” on proclamations rather than it just be by him and he read “*Now, Therefore, I Frederick Becker III by virtue of the authority vested in me as Mayor of the Town of Mineral Springs in the State of North Carolina do hereby proclaim the week of September 17th through the 23rd and ask our citizens to reaffirm the ideals the Framers of the Constitution had in 1787 by vigilantly protecting the freedoms guaranteed to us through this guardian of our liberties*”. Mayor Becker commented that this is very important for us to remember every day; we are blessed to have a Constitution governing us that allows us to exist as a town.
- Councilwoman Critz explained that she had a small copy of the Constitution; they are not expensive and she thought it behooves us all to look them over. Councilwoman Critz further explained that every time there is an election, the officials that are elected are sworn into office and one of the things that they promise is to uphold the Constitution of the United States and (here in North Carolina) the Constitution of the State of North Carolina. Councilwoman Critz read a paragraph from her booklet, “*The Constitution is much more than a fragile piece of paper worthy of special preservation, it is a living document as important today as it was over 200 years ago. In fact, the text that follows is the blueprint for our democratic way of life and in these words we find the basic meaning of our national identity. Most importantly, the text underscores the fact that Americans need not travel to Washington to appreciate these documents. The wonder is in the words themselves*”.
- **Councilwoman Critz** made a **motion** to accept this Proclamation for the Constitution Week, September 17th through the 23, 2013 and **Councilman Countryman** seconded. The motion passed unanimously as follows:

Ayes: Countryman, Critz, Cureton and Neill

Nays: None

- The proclamation is as follows:

*Proclamation
Constitution Week
September 17th – 23rd, 2013*

Whereas, The Constitution of the United States of America, the guardian of our liberties, embodies the principles of limited government in a Republic dedicated to rule by law; and

Whereas, September 17, 2013, marks the two hundred twenty-sixth anniversary of the framing of the Constitution of the United States of America by the Constitutional Convention; and

Whereas, It is fitting and proper to accord official recognition to this magnificent document and its memorable anniversary, and to the patriotic celebrations which will commemorate it;

Whereas, Public Law 915 guarantees the issuing of a proclamation each year by the President of the United States of America designating September 17 through 23 as Constitution Week,

Now, Therefore, I, Frederick Becker, III, by virtue of the authority vested in me as Mayor of the Town of Mineral Springs in the State of North Carolina do hereby proclaim the week of September 17 through 23 as

~ *Constitution Week* ~

And ask our citizens to reaffirm the ideals the Framers of the Constitution had in 1787 by vigilantly protecting the freedoms guaranteed to us through this guardian of our liberties.

In Witness Of, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Seal of the Town to be affixed this 12th day of September of the year of our Lord two thousand thirteen.

Frederick Becker, III
Frederick Becker III, Mayor
Town of Mineral Springs

ATTEST:

Vicky A Brooks
Vicky A Brooks, CMC, Town Clerk

8. **Consideration of Calling for a Special Meeting to View Webinars on the 2013 Legislative Updates**

- Mayor Becker reminded the council that they held a special meeting two years ago to view the School of Government's webinar; this year there are two of them approximately two hours each. After some discussion, there was a consensus of the council to hold two special meetings for the purpose of reviewing the 2013 Legislative Updates webinars on Monday, September 30, 2013 at 6:00 p.m. and on Monday, October 7, 2013 at 6:00 p.m. at the Mineral Springs Town Hall. Councilwoman Critz volunteered to coordinate food for the meetings.
- **Councilwoman Critz** made a **motion** to call for a special meeting on September 30, 2013 at 6:00 p.m. at the Mineral Springs Town Hall and a special meeting on October 7, 2013 at the Mineral Springs Town Hall at 6:00 p.m. for the purpose of reviewing the School of Government's Legislative update webinars and **Councilman Countryman** seconded. The motion passed unanimously as follows:

Ayes: Countryman, Critz, Cureton and Neill
Nays: None

9. **Consideration of a Mineral Springs 15th Anniversary Festival – tabled from last month**

- Councilman Countryman commented that the council really can't do this, because they don't have two people here. Councilwoman Critz commented that they are very involved. Mayor Becker concurred that they are both very involved; time is slipping away, but we can't do it without them.
- **Councilman Countryman** made a **motion** to table until next month and **Councilman Neill** seconded.

Ayes: Countryman, Critz, Cureton and Neill
Nays: None

10. **Other Business**

- Mayor Becker commented that Attorney Bobby Griffin had given the council a two-page Legislative update, which just touched the highest of the high points. Growth has been very slow and that State Demographer did an estimate based on input from our zoning administrator/planning director on how many new houses were constructed last year; they believe that the official certified population for the Town of Mineral Springs is 2,718.
- Councilwoman Critz commented, for the public, that Representative Brody is the only Representative that the council has ever had “consistently with regularity and with great interest to attend our meetings”. The council appreciates Representative Brody’s strength on the town’s behalf for franchise taxes. Councilwoman Critz thanked Representative Brody on behalf of all the municipalities in North Carolina.

11. **Adjournment**

- **Councilman Countryman** made a **motion** to adjourn and **Councilwoman Cureton** seconded. *The motion passed unanimously as follows:*

Ayes: Countryman, Critz, Cureton and Neill

Nays: None

- The meeting was adjourned at 8:43 p.m.
- The next regular meeting will be on Thursday, October 10, 2013 at 7:30 p.m. at the Mineral Springs Town Hall.

Respectfully submitted by:

Vicky A. Brooks, CMC, Town Clerk

Frederick Becker III, Mayor

Town of Mineral Springs
Town Hall
3506 S. Potter Road
Town Council
Special Meeting
September 30, 2013 ~ 6:00 PM

Minutes

The Town Council of the Town of Mineral Springs, North Carolina, met in Special Session at the Mineral Springs Town Hall, Mineral Springs, North Carolina, at 6:00 p.m. on Thursday, September 30, 2013.

Present: Mayor Frederick Becker III, Mayor Pro Tem Valerie Coffey, Councilman Jerry Countryman, Councilwoman Janet Critz, Councilwoman Lundeen Cureton, Councilwoman Melody LaMonica (arrived at 6:55 p.m.) and Councilwoman Peggy Neill (arrived at 7:20 p.m.).

Absent: None.

With a quorum present Mayor Frederick Becker called the Special Town Council Meeting of September 30, 2013 to order at 6:10 p.m.

1. **Opening**

- Councilwoman Critz delivered the invocation.
- Pledge of Allegiance.

2. **View Legislative Webinar**

- The council viewed the School of Government webinar on the 2013 Legislative updates for public health, mental health, social services, elections, community planning and development/environment.

3. **Adjournment**

- **Councilman Countryman made a motion to adjourn and Councilwoman Coffey seconded. The motion passed unanimously as follows:**

*Ayes: Coffey, Countryman, Critz, Cureton, LaMonica and Neill
Nays: None*

- The meeting was adjourned at 9:10 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Vicky A. Brooks, CMC, Town Clerk

Frederick Becker III, Mayor

Town of Mineral Springs
Town Hall
3506 S. Potter Road
Town Council
Special Meeting
October 7, 2013 ~ 6:00 PM

Minutes

The Town Council of the Town of Mineral Springs, North Carolina, met in Special Session at the Mineral Springs Town Hall, Mineral Springs, North Carolina, at 6:00 p.m. on Thursday, October 7, 2013.

Present: Mayor Frederick Becker III, Mayor Pro Tem Valerie Coffey, Councilman Jerry Countryman, Councilwoman Janet Critz and Councilwoman Lundeen Cureton.

Absent: Councilwoman Melody LaMonica and Councilwoman Peggy Neill.

With a quorum present Mayor Frederick Becker called the Special Town Council Meeting of October 7, 2013 to order at 6:06 p.m.

1. **Opening**

- Councilman Countryman delivered the invocation.
- Pledge of Allegiance.

2. **View Legislative Webinar**

- The council viewed the School of Government webinar on the 2013 Legislative updates for local governing authority and finance, purchasing and contracting, emergency management, ethics, economic development, property tax, criminal law and animal control.

3. **Adjournment**

- **Councilman Countryman** made a **motion** to adjourn and **Councilwoman Coffey** seconded. *The motion passed unanimously as follows:*

Ayes: Coffey, Countryman, Critz and Cureton

Nays: None

- The meeting was adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by:

Vicky A. Brooks, CMC, Town Clerk

Frederick Becker III, Mayor

Town of Mineral Springs
Town Hall
3506 S. Potter Road
Town Council
Regular Meeting
October 10, 2013 ~ 7:30 PM

Minutes

The Town Council of the Town of Mineral Springs, North Carolina, met in Regular Session at the Mineral Springs Town Hall, Mineral Springs, North Carolina, at 7:30 p.m. on Thursday, October 10, 2013.

Present: Mayor Frederick Becker III, Mayor Pro Tem Valerie Coffey, Councilman Jerry Countryman, Councilwoman Lundeen Cureton, Councilwoman Melody LaMonica, Councilwoman Peggy Neill, Town Clerk/Zoning Administrator Vicky Brooks, Attorney Bobby Griffin and Deputy Town Clerk/Tax Collector Janet Ridings.

Absent: Councilwoman Janet Critz.

Visitors: Mike Privette and Fred Witherspoon.

With a quorum present Mayor Frederick Becker called the Regular Town Council Meeting of October 10, 2013 to order at 7:32 p.m.

1. **Opening**

- Councilwoman Cureton delivered the invocation.
- Pledge of Allegiance.

2. **Public Comments**

- Mike Privette – 2216 Potter Downs Drive.

3. **Consent Agenda**

- **Councilwoman LaMonica** made a ***motion*** to approve the consent agenda as presented containing the following:

A. *August 2013 Tax Collector's Report*

B. *August 2013 Finance Report*

and **Councilwoman Cureton** seconded. *The motion passed unanimously as follows:*

Ayes: Coffey, Countryman, Cureton, LaMonica and Neill

Nays: None

4. **Consideration of Funding for the Waxhaw/Mineral Springs Optimist Club**

- Waxhaw/Mineral Springs Optimist Club President Mr. Fred Witherspoon stated that they were a volunteer civic organization that was chartered in 2009. Their two main objectives are community service and friend of youth. Mr. Witherspoon further stated that their goal is to do what they can to make Mineral Springs a happy place to live and to prove their community service. Mr. Witherspoon explained that he would like to present some of their programs and also submit a request for \$500 to fund two community service and youth projects. In the area of youth programs, the Optimist Club has sponsored an oratorical and essay contest with a potential \$2,500 scholarship, and other projects for students of the community. All of the students that have participated have been very strong participants and the Optimist Club is very proud of them. They had some go on and compete at the zone level, which is right next to the district; the district winners win a \$2,500 scholarship. At the club level, the Optimist Club gave the winners a financial donation as an incentive and a show of thanks. A couple of years ago a student from Parkwood High scored a perfect 1,600 on the SAT and the Optimist Club was at their awards night to make a presentation to that student as well. Mr. Witherspoon stated that is part of what the Optimist Club does; to identify youth in the community and recognize them for the great work that they are doing and to show them that their community cares and supports them. Some of the community service projects that the Optimist Club has done are: they have the flower bed on the square that they maintain in downtown Mineral Springs; they have a section of adopt-a-highway where they go out and pick up trash once a quarter; they maintain the entrance at Highway 75 and Western Union School Road; a couple of years ago they recognized the volunteer fire department (with a dinner) for the work that they do; they work closely with the schools; at Christmastime they try to have a list of students (from Western Union Elementary) who otherwise may not have a Merry Christmas so that the Club might make a donation and adopt a student, making that student's Christmas a little merrier; and at the beginning of the school year they have a list of school supplies that the students need, so they can make a donation of school supplies to support the schools/community and the youth of our community.
- The two projects that the Optimist Club wants to do this time around are to spread a little Christmas cheer and bring the community together by placing a Christmas tree in the downtown area (at the vacant lot next to the Post Office); the Optimist Club will be responsible for setting up and managing/decorating the Christmas tree. The Optimist Club will coordinate with the town on scheduling, because they know that the town will be putting up lights and this should be "all in sync". The Optimist Club will also be contacting some of the local churches to encourage them to put together a little holiday choir who can sing and have a short program where we would all light the Christmas tree and sing a few Christmas carols. Depending on the temperature, we could have some hot chocolate, hot cider and some cookies. This is just something to bring the community together, because a lot of us don't know each other and it would be a good opportunity for fellowship, as well as to bring some lights and Christmas spirit to the downtown area. The second project is their "adopt a student", which is an existing program to adopt a student at Western Union Elementary to provide gifts from their Christmas list. The Optimist Club is asking that the town sort of serve as a partner, where they will leverage the

contribution, the members sort of adopt a student, so the town's donation will be matched and it will enable them to serve more students.

- Councilwoman LaMonica commented that the total budget request for both projects appears to be \$500; it looks very reasonable and it sounds like it would have some far-reaching opportunities with some of the students and a lot of the town's people as well as part of the Christmas portion. Councilwoman Neill commented that it was very reasonable and very well put together. Mayor Becker noted that if the council authorizes the Christmas tree then they would also have to authorize the use of town electricity; approximately \$25. Councilwoman Coffey commented that these are challenging times, so anything that we can add to lives of our young people and to encourage them is what we need to be doing. Mayor Becker explained for disclosure that he is a member of the Optimist Club, but he was not at the meeting when they discussed this (not that it would be a recusal situation because he doesn't benefit from it).
- **Councilman Countryman** made a **motion** to approve the requested \$500.00 budget for their project for this fall to include the tree lighting and **Councilwoman LaMonica** seconded. The motion passed unanimously as follows:

Ayes: Coffey, Countryman, Cureton, LaMonica and Neill
Nays: None

5. **Consideration of a Mineral Springs 15th Anniversary Festival**

- Mayor Becker explained that this was the recurring festival discussion noting that we are still missing one key member and asked if the council had any thoughts on it, or if they want to continue pursuing this. Councilwoman Coffey responded that she believed this is an item that we really want to pursue; we have talked about it for years and it has never gotten off the ground, because we just haven't put the work into getting the facts, the financials, or the type of participants that would want to be in the festival and the financial commitment that they would be making to the town, so that we would come out slightly on top, if not at the break even status. "We are not looking to create a budget of deficit for the town but we want to create an atmosphere of fun and excitement and have people come out and meet each other and just have a grand time and learn who their neighbors are", Councilwoman Coffey said. Councilwoman LaMonica asked what the next steps are; do we need to put together a brief concept document, a budget outline, some of the considerations (especially around people and security). Councilwoman LaMonica volunteered to get with Ms. Brooks and help with that if the council would like. Ms. Brooks asked the council about the date of the festival. There was a consensus of the mayor and council to look at a date in late August (23rd or 30th) or the first week of September (during the day on a Saturday); this will assure that families are home from vacation and will be before other fall events start gearing up. It is also important not to run into the Labor Day weekend or to "butt heads" with other local community events. Mayor Becker noted that Ms. Brooks and Councilwoman LaMonica will do a preliminary. Councilwoman LaMonica commented that we need to present it at the next meeting, because it is November and then we hit the holidays and it's going to be tough to get stuff done; to do it right, we need time. Attorney Griffin commented that what he would suggest to keep it out of a committee, so they won't have to have compliance with open

meetings is to charge the clerk with bringing back a recommendation; any council member who might have suggestions can call her up and discuss it with her or send it to her during the week. Mayor Becker responded "rather than a formal committee?" Attorney Griffin responded "that's right". Mayor Becker commented that he thought that would be more flexible. Councilwoman LaMonica asked if she would be allowed to help her if she needs it. Attorney Griffin responded yes, if she wants to call on her. Mayor Becker commented that we will keep that as a loose sort of assistance rather than a formal committee. Attorney Griffin responded that the employee should come back with that and she will investigate whatever suggestions the council calls in and asks her to look at individually, that way you don't have a committee that must comply with the open meetings law.

6. **Other Business**

- Mayor Becker announced that the Union County website has a brief news release from Union County Public Works (October 8, 2013): the Union County Board of Commissioners (on Monday) authorized the purchase of 0.4 acres in Mineral Springs for a pump station. Mayor Becker explained that he "delves" into it a little more deeply and found that the landowner Addie Robinson agreed to sell the 0.4 acres, which is at the edge of her property in the floodplain for the pump station site. The design of the project (Mineral Springs sewer line) is expected to be finished within four weeks and will be bid in November. Construction should be completed by July 2014. Mayor Becker stated that it has been eight years of almost continuous effort on the part of this board to work cooperatively with all these entities and it is maybe going to finally pay off. Councilwoman Neill mentioned that she and Mayor Becker initially met with John Dyer in 2001. Mayor Becker concurred that it was John Dyer in 2001. Councilwoman Neill questioned if it was Donna Lisenby that was the "river keeper" at the time. Mayor Becker responded it was Donna Lisenby and Charlie O'Cain; it was 12 years ago that we began to meet to try to get them to serve us instead of just nearby developers, and they had to run alongside us and not come to us, which didn't make any of us happy, but by 2003 we were really working very hard. "So, this is a piece of very good news", Mayor Becker said. Councilwoman LaMonica commented that it will be one month before our planned fall festival and asked about the next steps for the folks at Harris Teeter; what about the downtown; and when will we potentially be looking at breaking ground once the sewer lines are in? Mayor Becker responded that he thought that is going to wait until Harris Teeter and Kroger decide what they are doing; as always we are at the mercy of a lot of other entities, because they own the land. This is an economic decision on their part, they will want to decide who is actually going to own the parent company and then decide when the economy is going to justify that much retail. Mayor Becker further commented that he thought we are focusing right now on Highway 75, because Spiro [Kaltsounis] wants to turn his empty shopping center into a restaurant; he is not making money on empty buildings, he makes money selling food. Mayor Becker stated that he and Spiro have been working on this for a long time. Mayor Becker also mentioned that we had some good news that there might be a new management at the General [the "Mineral General"] that might be interested, when sewer is available, Mayor Becker keeps saying "a grill, a grill, we want a grill", something like Duke's or Ron's; that could work out very well.

7. **Adjournment**

- **Councilman Countryman** made a **motion** to adjourn and **Councilwoman Coffey** seconded. The motion passed unanimously as follows:

Ayes: Coffey, Countryman, Cureton, LaMonica and Neill
Nays: None

- The meeting was adjourned at 7:58 p.m.
- The next regular meeting will be on Thursday, November 14, 2013 at 7:30 p.m. at the Mineral Springs Town Hall.

Respectfully submitted by:

Vicky A. Brooks, CMC, Town Clerk

Frederick Becker III, Mayor

DRAFT

SEPTEMBER 2013
TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS
PERCENTAGE REPORT

SEPTEMBER 30, 2013 REGULAR TAX	2013	2012
BEGINNING CHARGE	63,597.79	66,166.85
TAX CHARGE		
PUBLIC UTILITIES		
DISCOVERIES		
NON-DISCOVERIES		
ABATEMENTS	(4.18)	(4.18)
TOTAL CHARGE	63,593.61	66,162.67
BEGINNING COLLECTIONS	3,327.38	64,997.57
COLLECTIONS - TAX	2,489.46	14.06
COLLECTIONS - INTEREST		1.53
TOTAL COLLECTIONS	5,816.84	65,011.63
BALANCE OUTSTANDING	57,776.77	1,151.04
PERCENTAGE OF REGULAR	9.15%	98.26%
COLLECTION FEE 1.5 %	37.34	0.23

Mineral Springs 1999 Property Taxes Unpaid as of 9/30/2013

Name	Acct. Number	Property Description	Tax Due
BARNETT, SHELBY H HEIRS	06-084-041	1.33 Ac. #40 LEISURE ACRES	\$40.28
MASSEY, CARRIE M	05-006-009A	4.77 Ac. LEMMONDS	\$24.76
MORRISON, BOBBY RAY & WF LAMA	09-417-014	9.13 Ac. #3 MORRISON	\$7.32
TRUESDALE, LULA HEIRS	06-060-013	3 Ac. CRAWFORD	\$12.08
WENTZ, RAYMOND LEE	06-039-011A	5.58 Ac. WENTZ	\$5.04
Total Amount Unpaid:			<hr/> \$89.48

Mineral Springs Prior Years Property Tax Report September 2013

September 30, 2013	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
BEGINNING CHARGE	\$64,878.42	\$64,737.60	\$63,911.13	\$65,443.06	\$52,276.82	\$51,397.02	\$49,217.72
PUBLIC UTILITIES	\$1,319.20	\$1,251.60	\$1,218.28	\$1,112.42	\$1,056.90	\$779.12	\$624.69
MINIMAL RELEASES	(\$145.21)	(\$152.88)	(\$157.18)	(\$158.76)	(\$150.55)	(\$50.50)	(\$47.49)
DISCOVERIES	\$59.06	\$318.85	\$46.46	\$46.72	\$117.44	\$417.27	\$199.93
ABATEMENTS (RELEASES)	(\$272.06)	(\$443.92)	(\$86.25)	(\$1,297.04)	(\$31.68)		
PERSONAL PROPERTY WRITEOFFS							
TOTAL CHARGE	\$65,839.41	\$65,711.25	\$64,932.44	\$65,146.40	\$53,268.93	\$52,542.91	\$49,994.85
PREVIOUS COLLECTIONS	\$64,686.08	\$64,645.79	\$63,918.76	\$64,298.93	\$52,665.22	\$51,972.42	\$49,478.83
PREVIOUS BALANCE DUE	\$1,153.33	\$1,065.46	\$1,013.68	\$847.47	\$603.71	\$570.49	\$516.02
COLLECTIONS - TAX	\$57.38	\$56.83	\$50.01	\$13.95	\$17.28	\$17.28	\$28.67
COLLECTIONS - INTEREST/FEEs	\$32.98	\$36.66	\$37.68	\$11.88	\$15.12	\$26.89	\$19.80
GROSS MONTHLY COLLECTIONS	\$90.36	\$93.49	\$87.69	\$25.83	\$32.40	\$44.17	\$48.47
MISC. ADJUSTMENTS							
TOTAL TAX COLLECTED TO DATE	\$64,743.46	\$64,702.62	\$63,968.77	\$64,312.88	\$52,682.50	\$51,989.70	\$49,507.50
BALANCE OUTSTANDING	\$1,095.95	\$1,008.63	\$963.67	\$833.52	\$586.43	\$553.21	\$487.35
PERCENTAGE COLLECTED	98.34%	98.47%	98.52%	98.72%	98.90%	98.95%	99.03%

Mineral Springs Prior Years Property Tax Report
September 2013

	2004	2003	2003A	2002	2001	2000	1999	
BEGINNING CHARGE	\$41,651.21	\$34,338.14	\$960.75	\$36,425.60	\$36,176.12	\$34,514.63	\$25,779.82	
PUBLIC UTILITIES	\$618.17	\$629.63		\$629.07	\$629.28	\$660.85		
MINIMAL RELEASES	(\$45.06)	(\$102.84)		(\$101.90)	(\$104.80)	(\$78.96)	(\$60.40)	
DISCOVERIES	\$662.98			\$50.45	\$18.98			
ABATEMENTS (RELEASES)	(\$12.99)	(\$21.37)		(\$26.49)	(\$27.40)	(\$675.29)		
PERSONAL PROPERTY WRITEOFFS								
TOTAL CHARGE	\$42,874.31	\$34,843.56	\$960.75	\$36,976.73	\$36,692.18	\$34,421.23	\$25,719.42	
PREVIOUS COLLECTIONS	\$42,416.85	\$34,595.57	\$960.75	\$36,482.20	\$36,523.02	\$34,317.98	\$25,629.94	
PREVIOUS BALANCE DUE	\$457.46	\$247.99	\$0.00	\$494.53	\$169.16	\$103.25	\$89.48	\$7,332.03
COLLECTIONS - TAX	\$3.32							\$244.72
COLLECTIONS - INTEREST/FEES	\$2.24							\$183.25
GROSS MONTHLY COLLECTIONS	\$5.56							\$427.97
MISC. ADJUSTMENTS								
TOTAL TAX COLLECTED TO DATE	\$42,420.17	\$34,595.57	\$960.75	\$36,482.20	\$36,523.02	\$34,317.98	\$25,629.94	
BALANCE OUTSTANDING	\$454.14	\$247.99	\$0.00	\$494.53	\$169.16	\$103.25	\$89.48	\$7,087.31
PERCENTAGE COLLECTED	98.94%	99.29%	100.00%	98.66%	99.54%	99.70%	99.65%	

Mineral Springs Unpaid Property Taxes - Real and Personal as of September 30, 2013

Name	Tax Map N	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003-A	2003	2002	2001	2000
2K CONTRACTING INC	50087944		\$3.18	\$2.76	\$2.41	\$2.26	\$1.96	\$1.71	\$1.49		\$8.25			
A TO Z HANDYMAN	50096422		\$12.95	\$11.25	\$9.79	\$9.20								
A TO Z HANDYMAN, VONLI	308615	\$12.95												
ALSPAUGH, JAMES MICHAEL	06019007	\$12.63	\$12.63	\$12.63	\$12.56	\$11.25	\$11.25							
AUTRY, ELVIS VERDELL & WIFE	05033051	\$17.37	\$17.37	\$17.37	\$17.37	\$14.76	\$14.76	\$14.76	\$14.76		\$8.33			
B & S CONCRETE	50056640													\$7.98
B C R INC	50057978										\$21.14			
BAILEY, GEORGE ALLEN & BROTHERS	06039008	\$14.54	\$14.54	\$29.09										
BARNETT, SHELBY HEIRS	06084041	\$37.57	\$37.57	\$37.57	\$37.58	\$40.80	\$40.80	\$40.80	\$40.80		\$41.24	\$41.24	\$41.24	\$41.24
BLESSED HOPE BAPTIST CHURCH	05033008 7											\$249.61		
BOND, CELESTE B	06054063			\$27.92										
BOXER CONSTRUCTION	50103026		\$6.88											
BOXER CONSTRUCTION	222723	\$6.88												
BRIDGES JAMES CORBETT	50084062						\$1.84	\$1.91	\$2.04			\$2.57		
BROOKS, STEPHEN R	50089854							\$1.13	\$1.24					
BROOME, JAMES ANDREW	06060007E	\$17.08	\$17.08	\$17.08	\$17.08	\$13.58	\$13.58	\$13.58	\$13.58		\$7.40	\$7.40		
BROOME, REBECCA G	06060007A	\$9.02	\$9.03											
CAROLINA STREET SUPPLY	50103059		\$6.88											
CAROLINA STREET SUPPLY,	848391	\$6.88												
CHILDRESS, ANNIE UNELL	06039007F	\$23.29	\$23.29	\$23.29	\$23.29	\$22.52	\$22.52	\$22.52	\$22.52		\$22.08			
CMH CONTRACTING INC	50092570						\$14.85							
COFFEY, LILLIE MAE	05033021	\$19.25	\$19.25	\$19.25	\$19.25	\$17.96		\$17.96	\$17.96					
COOMBER CUSTOM MASONS	1812652	\$10.44												
COSMETICS SPA HAIR	601739	\$2.75												
COSMETICS SPA HAIR	50102370		\$2.75											

Name	Tax Map N	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003-A	2003	2002	2001	2000
COVINGTON, JIMMIE H	05033014	\$38.80	\$38.80	\$38.80	\$38.80	\$40.81	\$40.81	\$40.81	\$40.81			\$31.31		
CRAIG, ROBERT DANIEL & C	06015023			\$27.46	\$27.46				\$19.00			\$15.11		
CRAIG, ROBERT DANIEL AN	06015023A								\$10.21					
CURVES OF MINERAL SPRIN	50092178						\$8.54							
CUSTOM DESIGN CONCRET	50092179			\$12.02	\$10.46	\$9.82	\$8.54							
DAMEFF, ANNE MARIE	06114042							\$33.02						
D'AMICO, JAMES L	06054024	\$27.68	\$27.68	\$27.68										
DBT	50096338					\$7.43								
DEESE MARY NIXON	50082349								\$1.00			\$1.31		
DELMARIE, ANTHONY & BA	06060013	\$21.80	\$21.80	\$21.80	\$21.80	\$17.15	\$17.15	\$17.15	\$17.15		\$11.19	\$11.19	\$11.19	\$11.19
DRIVEWAYS ETC	50068446		\$4.45				\$1.74							
DRIVEWAYS ETC	200234858	\$4.44												
DUNCAN, DORA	06054057	\$10.14												
DUNCAN, DORA	06054019	\$13.40												
DUNCAN, DORA	06054018	\$14.05												
DUNCAN, ROBERT W	50100863			\$2.63										
ELLIOTT, JAMES EDWARD &	06060006E	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$10.37	\$10.37	\$10.37						
EPIC REALTY GROUP INC	50094704			\$10.46	\$9.10	\$8.54	\$7.43							
FATHER & SON PAINTERS	50093623		\$2.41	\$2.09			\$1.53							
FATHER & SON PAINTERS	264482	\$2.41												
FAULKNER, LONNIE	375789	\$8.72												
FAULKNER, LONNIE JR	50093190		\$8.72	\$8.76	\$5.18	\$10.02		\$8.64						
FOX ANN H & TONY WAYNE	50077079											\$1.22	\$1.25	
FUNDERBURK, MARGARET	06039007D	\$8.21	\$8.21			\$5.66	\$1.50	\$1.50	\$1.68					
GOBLE, KARL VON & GOBLE	05033059	\$6.93	\$6.93	\$6.93	\$6.88									
GOBLE, KARL VON & GOBLE	05033058	\$6.93	\$6.93	\$6.93	\$6.83									
GOBLE, KARL VON & GOBLE	05033057	\$6.89	\$6.89	\$6.89	\$6.89									
GOBLE, KARL VON & GOBLE	05033056	\$6.90	\$6.90	\$6.90	\$6.86									

Name	Tax Map N	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003-A	2003	2002	2001	2000
GOBLE, KARL VON & GOBLE	05033054	\$7.20	\$7.20	\$7.20	\$7.13									
GRADY, DEITRICH	06018045D	\$8.73	\$8.73	\$8.73	\$8.73	\$7.08		\$7.08	\$7.08		\$2.72	\$2.72	\$2.72	
GREENE, JAMES A	06036028	\$9.02	\$9.02	\$9.02		\$6.60	\$6.60							
GRIFFIN, FAIRLEY J	05033026	\$6.20	\$6.20	\$6.20	\$6.20	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78		\$1.21			
HALLS PRESSURE WASHING	50096449		\$4.18	\$3.64	\$3.17	\$2.97								
HALLS PRESSURE WASHING	269402	\$4.18												
HARRINGTON, THOAMS E	05033024	\$26.53	\$26.53											
HARRIS, ALLIE JANE MASSE	05033047	\$20.36	\$20.36	\$20.36	\$20.36	\$17.72	\$17.72	\$17.72						
HELMS, DARYL J & HELMS,	06036005	\$34.20	\$34.20											
HERRON ENTERPRISES INC	50071162		\$10.54	\$9.58	\$8.78									
HERRON ENTERPRISES INC	100052852	\$10.54												
HOUGH TGAHLIA TERRA	50082108		\$4.48	\$4.48	\$3.14	\$4.99						\$2.25	\$6.24	
HOUGH, TAHLIA TERRA	2026069	\$4.48												
HOWARD, ULYSESS	05033036	\$9.43	\$9.43	\$9.43	\$9.35	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$7.90	\$7.90		\$3.50	\$3.50	\$3.50	\$3.50
HUNTLEY, ANNETTE HOUST	05033061	\$11.92	\$11.92	\$11.92	\$11.83	\$10.79								
IMAGE DESIGN PRODUCTIO	50069103					\$5.64								
IMAGE DESIGN PRODUCTIO	2016613	\$3.69												
J & S MEAT PROCESSING	50093774		\$3.64	\$3.17										
JUS 4 U II	50090771							\$8.54	\$7.43					
KIDZ UNITED CLUB	50094388		\$2.41	\$2.09			\$1.49							
KIDZ UNITED CLUB % SHAL	591578	\$2.41												
KNIGH DORIS J	50089641										\$1.49			
KNIGHT STEPHEN H	50047348								\$33.40					
KNIGHT, BRENDA S	50100309			\$6.86	\$2.51									
LEE, JERRY OSCAR & LEE, A	05033048	\$17.39		\$17.39	\$17.39	\$15.44	\$15.44	\$2.02						
LEIGH'S BOOKS 'N SUPPLIES	50094248			\$12.02	\$9.10	\$8.54	\$7.43							
LOVE STEPHEN WAYNE &	50078727							\$1.48	\$1.60					
LOWE, EMMA J	06039009A	\$31.93	\$31.93	\$31.93	\$31.93	\$25.38								

Name	Tax Map N	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003-A	2003	2002	2001	2000
MASSEY, JAMES ALLEN & E	06039007B	\$7.96	\$7.96	\$7.96	\$7.96									
MASSEY, LORIA L	05033137	\$6.90	\$6.90	\$6.90	\$6.90	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78					
MASSEY, MARCUS A	05006009J	\$21.76	\$21.76	\$21.76	\$21.76	\$20.46	\$20.46	\$20.46	\$20.46		\$17.54	\$2.91	\$2.91	\$2.91
MATHENY, VERNA	455325	\$2.22												
MCDUGALL, SHERRY CAR	06084001L	\$6.86	\$6.86	\$6.86	\$6.86									
MCGEE, BOBBY E & MCGEE	06039007A	\$14.99	\$14.99	\$14.99	\$14.99	\$12.94								
METHENY, VERNA	50094323		\$2.22	\$2.44			\$2.38							
MEXICAN PAINTERS (THE)	50092685							\$7.43						
MORRISON, BOBBY R	09417006K	\$7.45												
MORRISON, BOBBY R	09417006H	\$52.29	\$9.90											
MORRISON, BOBBY RAY	09417014	\$53.78	\$53.78	\$53.78	\$53.78		\$22.68					\$12.33	\$12.33	
MOSS, TERRY LYNN	06084012D										\$4.59	\$4.59	\$4.59	\$4.59
MYERS, FRANK & WF ANGE	06039007E	\$19.91	\$19.91	\$19.91	\$19.91	\$18.78	\$18.78	\$18.78	\$18.78					
NATURALLY MAID CLEANIN	50099051		\$3.93	\$3.42	\$2.97									
NOBLE WATERS COMPANY	915182	\$13.83												
NOBLE WATERS COMPANY,	50092867		\$13.83	\$12.02	\$10.46	\$9.82	\$8.54	\$7.43						
PANGLE ANDREW HOYLE	50084019											\$2.35		
PETTY, JAMES DAVID	50092442							\$1.01						
POWLES, DAVID G	06036018	\$33.45	\$33.45	\$33.45	\$33.45	\$28.94	\$28.94	\$28.94	\$33.48		\$31.63	\$31.63	\$31.63	
R & D MASONRY INC	50092552						\$8.54							
REALTY INVESTORS INC	50082898						\$1.02							
RENNER, LISA M	06114077	\$6.98												
ROBERTO BONILLA CUSTO	50104497		\$2.75											
ROBINSON, JERALDINE	05033034	\$9.66	\$9.66	\$9.66	\$9.66		\$8.42							
ROBINSON, SHEILA ANN &	06039019A	\$30.96	\$30.96											
S & S PLUMBING	50101044		\$7.91	\$6.88										
S & S PLUMBING % SAMUE	248507	\$7.91												
SMITH, MARVIN D & SMITH	06054094	\$31.44	\$31.44	\$31.44	\$31.44									

Name	Tax Map N	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003-A	2003	2002	2001	2000
SNYDER, DOROTHY L	06114092	\$20.37	\$20.37	\$20.37	\$20.37	\$20.69	\$20.69							
STARNES MARGARET H	50073018						\$2.01	\$2.12	\$2.16			\$3.20	\$3.52	
STARR TROY ALLEN	50090015						\$1.62	\$1.71	\$1.77					
STRAING GRACIE M	50082038		\$3.12	\$3.12		\$3.70	\$3.70	\$3.36	\$3.70			\$2.41		
STRAING, GRACIE M	2026048	\$3.12												
STRAING, GRACIE M	05033081	\$6.92	\$6.92	\$6.92	\$6.92	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78					
STRAING, GRACIE M	05033080	\$20.46	\$20.46	\$20.46	\$20.54	\$29.20	\$29.20	\$29.20	\$29.20		\$20.58	\$20.58	\$20.58	\$4.38
TARLTON, AMANDA C	06054036	\$24.85	\$24.85											
TORRENCE MELVIN CONCR	50060184		\$8.10	\$7.04	\$6.12									
TORRENCE MELVIN CONCR	200231240	\$8.10												
TORRENCE, MELVIN & TOR	05033045	\$7.45	\$7.45	\$7.45	\$7.45	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78						
TORRENCE, REGINAL C/O	50070455						\$1.63							
TZMR RECORDS	2182257	\$2.75												
WADDELL, LONNIE J	05033071	\$6.88	\$6.88	\$6.88	\$6.88	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78	\$3.78					
WADDELL, LONNIE J	05033070	\$19.16	\$19.16	\$19.16	\$19.21	\$27.15	\$27.15	\$27.15	\$27.15		\$19.51	\$19.51	\$19.51	\$19.51
WAXHAW ALL TILE	50099231				\$6.88									
WENDY GREENE AND ASSO	50093112						\$12.13	\$9.59						
WENTZ-BROWN, AMANDA	06039011A		\$32.40	\$32.40	\$32.40	\$13.32	\$13.32	\$13.32	\$13.32		\$7.95	\$7.95	\$7.95	\$7.95
WHITE, ERIC DARRYL	05033028	\$28.27	\$23.71	\$23.71	\$6.16									
WILLIAMS, RUTH & HUSBA	05033179	\$19.11	\$19.11	\$19.11	\$19.11	\$27.35	\$27.35	\$27.35	\$27.35		\$17.64	\$17.64		
WILLIS, GENE E JR	06114054				\$18.13									
Total		\$1,095.95	\$1,008.63	\$963.67	\$833.52	\$586.43	\$553.21	\$487.35	\$454.14		\$247.99	\$494.53	\$169.16	\$103.25

**Town of Mineral Springs
Property Tax Refunds
11/14/13**

Robert Craig

Refunds:

Acct Num	Year	Tax Amt	Description
06-015-023	2009	7.72	Interest overpaid by lender
06-015-023	2008	8.84	Interest overpaid by lender
06-015-023	2004	6.29	Interest overpaid by lender
06-015-023	2002	7.16	Interest overpaid by lender
06-015-023A	2004	6.30	Interest overpaid by lender
Total		\$36.31	

Agenda Item

11/14/13

Town of Mineral Springs

FINANCE REPORT SEPTEMBER 2013

Prepared for:

The Mineral Springs Town Council

By:

Frederick Becker III
Finance Officer

November 14, 2013

This page has been intentionally left blank.

Cash Flow Report FY2013 YTD

7/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 1

Category Description	7/1/2013- 9/30/2013
INCOME	
Franchise	
Cable	764.00
TOTAL Franchise	764.00
Interest Income	385.64
Other Inc	
Zoning	1,025.00
TOTAL Other Inc	1,025.00
Prop Tax 2013	
Receipts 2013	
Int	0.00
Tax	3,327.38
TOTAL Receipts 2013	3,327.38
TOTAL Prop Tax 2013	3,327.38
Prop Tax Prior Years	
Prop Tax 2001	
Receipts 2001	
Int	8.32
Tax	1.95
TOTAL Receipts 2001	10.27
TOTAL Prop Tax 2001	10.27
Prop Tax 2002	
Receipts 2002	
Int	18.53
Tax	9.34
TOTAL Receipts 2002	27.87
TOTAL Prop Tax 2002	27.87
Prop Tax 2003	
Annexation 2003	
Receipts 2003	
Int	4.22
Tax	5.25
TOTAL Receipts 2003	9.47
TOTAL Annexation 2003	9.47
Receipts 2003	
Int	36.30
Tax	25.90
TOTAL Receipts 2003	62.20
TOTAL Prop Tax 2003	71.67
Prop Tax 2004	
Receipts 2004	
Int	49.33
Tax	47.51
TOTAL Receipts 2004	96.84
TOTAL Prop Tax 2004	96.84
Prop Tax 2005	
Receipts 2005	
Int	68.73
Tax	70.71
TOTAL Receipts 2005	139.44

Cash Flow Report FY2013 YTD

7/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 2

Category Description	7/1/2013- 9/30/2013
TOTAL Prop Tax 2005	139.44
Prop Tax 2006	
Receipts 2006	
Int	51.38
Tax	61.53
TOTAL Receipts 2006	112.91
TOTAL Prop Tax 2006	112.91
Prop Tax 2007	
Receipts 2007	
Int	24.38
Tax	24.15
TOTAL Receipts 2007	48.53
TOTAL Prop Tax 2007	48.53
Prop Tax 2008	
Receipts 2008	
Int	29.90
Tax	25.53
TOTAL Receipts 2008	55.43
Refunds 2008	
Int	-9.19
TOTAL Refunds 2008	-9.19
TOTAL Prop Tax 2008	46.24
Prop Tax 2009	
Receipts 2009	
Int	87.69
Tax	155.23
TOTAL Receipts 2009	242.92
Refunds 2009	
Int	-10.46
TOTAL Refunds 2009	-10.46
TOTAL Prop Tax 2009	232.46
Prop Tax 2010	
Receipts 2010	
Int	81.35
Tax	174.05
TOTAL Receipts 2010	255.40
Refunds 2010	
Int	-11.71
TOTAL Refunds 2010	-11.71
TOTAL Prop Tax 2010	243.69
Prop Tax 2011	
Receipts 2011	
Int	69.80
Tax	163.12
TOTAL Receipts 2011	232.92
Refunds 2011	
Int	-14.24
TOTAL Refunds 2011	-14.24
TOTAL Prop Tax 2011	218.68
Prop Tax 2012	
Receipts 2012	

Cash Flow Report FY2013 YTD

7/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 3

Category Description	7/1/2013- 9/30/2013
Int	8.72
Tax	153.67
TOTAL Receipts 2012	162.39
TOTAL Prop Tax 2012	162.39
TOTAL Prop Tax Prior Years	1,410.99
Sales Tax	
Sales & Use Dist	1,743.46
TOTAL Sales Tax	1,743.46
Veh Tax	
Coll	
2003	-0.05
2009	-0.04
2010	-0.04
2011	-0.24
2012	-3.26
2013	-9.99
TOTAL Coll	-13.62
Int 2003	1.45
Int 2009	0.71
Int 2010	0.53
Int 2011	2.20
Int 2012	11.39
Int 2013	1.46
Tax 2003	1.56
Tax 2009	2.00
Tax 2010	2.16
Tax 2011	13.55
Tax 2012	207.61
Tax 2013	664.38
TOTAL Veh Tax	895.38
TOTAL INCOME	9,551.85
EXPENSES	
Uncategorized	0.00
Ads	171.63
Attorney	1,677.08
Community	
Greenway	78.12
TOTAL Community	78.12
Dues	1,115.00
Emp	
Benefits	
Dental	219.00
Life	151.20
NCLGERS	2,540.21
Vision	42.00
TOTAL Benefits	2,952.41
Bond	450.00
FICA	
Med	372.68
Soc Sec	1,593.54

Cash Flow Report FY2013 YTD

7/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 4

Category Description	7/1/2013- 9/30/2013
TOTAL FICA	1,966.22
Payroll	321.21
SUI	48.01
Work Comp	851.64
TOTAL Emp	6,589.49
Ins	3,714.38
Office	
Bank	24.20
Clerk	7,700.00
Council	1,800.00
Deputy Clerk	1,965.25
Finance Officer	7,224.00
Maint	
Materials	184.66
Service	3,798.00
TOTAL Maint	3,982.66
Mayor	1,200.00
Misc	62.98
Supplies	930.34
Tel	2,724.71
Util	413.18
TOTAL Office	28,027.32
Planning	
Administration	
Salaries	6,658.00
TOTAL Administration	6,658.00
Misc	427.00
TOTAL Planning	7,085.00
Street Lighting	315.22
Tax Coll	
Bill	
Services	100.00
TOTAL Bill	100.00
Contract	52.36
Post	18.33
Sal	450.00
TOTAL Tax Coll	620.69
Training	
Staff	650.00
TOTAL Training	650.00
Travel	1,702.39
TOTAL EXPENSES	51,746.32
TRANSFERS	
FROM Check Min Spgs	30,000.00
FROM MM Sav ParkSterling	20,000.00
TO Check Min Spgs	-20,000.00
TO MM Sav ParkSterling	-30,000.00
TOTAL TRANSFERS	0.00
OVERALL TOTAL	-42,194.47

Account Balances History Report

(Includes unrealized gains)

As of 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 1

Account	6/29/2013 Balance	6/30/2013 Balance	7/31/2013 Balance	8/31/2013 Balance	9/30/2013 Balance
ASSETS					
Cash and Bank Accounts					
Check Min Spgs	23,394.88	23,394.88	22,910.28	11,652.11	25,984.57
Copper Run Escrow	66,669.94	66,678.16	66,686.66	66,695.15	66,703.37
Estates at Soen Escrow	28,236.34	28,239.83	28,243.42	28,247.02	28,250.51
MM Sav Min Spgs	10,559.98	10,559.98	10,560.88	10,561.78	10,562.65
MM Sav ParkSterling	470,860.03	470,976.14	451,096.00	451,210.95	481,323.21
NCCMT_Cash	1,000.09	1,000.10	1,000.11	1,000.11	1,000.11
TOTAL Cash and Bank Accounts	600,721.26	600,849.09	580,497.35	569,367.12	613,824.42
Other Assets					
State Revenues Receivable	0.00	56,695.51	54,804.08	53,206.17	0.00
TOTAL Other Assets	0.00	56,695.51	54,804.08	53,206.17	0.00
TOTAL ASSETS	600,721.26	657,544.60	635,301.43	622,573.29	613,824.42
LIABILITIES					
Other Liabilities					
Accounts Payable	0.00	1,525.71	0.00	0.00	0.00
Escrows	94,382.00	94,382.00	94,382.00	94,382.00	94,382.00
TOTAL Other Liabilities	94,382.00	95,907.71	94,382.00	94,382.00	94,382.00
TOTAL LIABILITIES	94,382.00	95,907.71	94,382.00	94,382.00	94,382.00
OVERALL TOTAL	506,339.26	561,636.89	540,919.43	528,191.29	519,442.42

Mineral Springs Monthly Revenue Summary 2013-2014

TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS									
REVENUE SUMMARY 2013-2014									
Source	Budget	Receivable	Rec'd YTD	% of Budget	July	August	September	October	November
Property Tax - prior	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,589.01	\$ 1,410.99	47.0%	\$ 347.41	\$ 624.50	\$ 439.08		
Property Tax - 2013	\$ 64,280.00	\$ 60,952.62	\$ 3,327.38	5.2%	\$ -	\$ 183.70	\$ 3,143.68		
Dupl. Property Tax	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Franchise Taxes: cable	\$ 2,400.00	\$ 1,636.00	\$ 764.00	31.8%	\$ -	\$ 764.00	\$ -		
Franchise Taxes: utility	\$ 180,000.00	\$ 180,000.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Fund Balance Approp.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Gross Receipts Tax	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Interest	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 814.36	\$ 385.64	32.1%	\$ 132.86	\$ 127.94	\$ 124.84		
Sales Tax	\$ 45,600.00	\$ 43,856.54	\$ 1,743.46	3.8%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,743.46		
Vehicle Taxes	\$ 4,800.00	\$ 3,904.62	\$ 895.38	18.7%	\$ -	\$ 400.58	\$ 494.80		
Zoning Fees	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,975.00	\$ 1,025.00	34.2%	\$ 275.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 625.00		
Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -				
Totals	\$ 304,280.00	\$ 294,728.15	\$ 9,551.85	3.1%	\$ 755.27	\$ 2,225.72	\$ 6,570.86	\$ -	\$ -
	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	June a/r	
Property Tax - prior									
Property Tax - 2012									
Dupl. Property Tax									
Franchise Taxes: cable									
Franchise Taxes: utility									
Fund Balance Approp.									
Gross Receipts Tax									
Interest									
Sales Tax									
Vehicle Taxes									
Zoning Fees									
Other									
Totals	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Mineral Springs Budget Comparison 2013-2014

TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS									
BUDGET COMPARISON 2013-2014									
Appropriation dept	Budget	Unspent	Spent YTD	% of Budget	July	August	September	October	November
Advertising	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,628.37	\$ 171.63	9.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 171.63		
Attorney	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 7,922.92	\$ 1,677.08	17.5%	\$ 300.00	\$ 1,077.08	\$ 300.00		
Audit	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 4,500.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Community Projects	\$ 19,900.00	\$ 19,821.88	\$ 78.12	0.4%	\$ 78.12	\$ -	\$ -		
Contingency	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Dues	\$ 4,725.00	\$ 3,610.00	\$ 1,115.00	23.6%	\$ 1,050.00	\$ 65.00	\$ -		
Elections	\$ 4,200.00	\$ 4,200.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Employee Overhead	\$ 24,600.00	\$ 18,010.51	\$ 6,589.49	26.8%	\$ 2,574.13	\$ 2,253.39	\$ 1,761.97		
Fire Department	\$ 12,000.00	\$ 12,000.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Insurance	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 785.62	\$ 3,714.38	82.5%	\$ 3,714.38	\$ -	\$ -		
Newsletter	\$ 2,400.00	\$ 2,400.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Office	\$ 113,304.00	\$ 85,276.68	\$ 28,027.32	24.7%	\$ 9,961.20	\$ 8,288.77	\$ 9,777.35		
Planning & Zoning	\$ 37,776.00	\$ 30,691.00	\$ 7,085.00	18.8%	\$ 2,575.00	\$ 2,238.65	\$ 2,271.35		
Street Lighting	\$ 2,200.00	\$ 1,884.78	\$ 315.22	14.3%	\$ -	\$ 157.61	\$ 157.61		
Tax Collection	\$ 3,700.00	\$ 3,079.31	\$ 620.69	16.8%	\$ 150.00	\$ 223.36	\$ 247.33		
Training	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 2,350.00	\$ 650.00	21.7%	\$ -	\$ 650.00	\$ -		
Travel	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,297.61	\$ 1,702.39	56.7%	\$ 1,069.90	\$ -	\$ 632.49		
Capital Outlay	\$ 50,075.00	\$ 50,075.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Totals	\$ 304,280.00	\$ 252,533.68	\$ 51,746.32	17.0%	\$ 21,472.73	\$ 14,953.86	\$ 15,319.73	\$ -	\$ -
Off Budget:									
Tax Refunds									
Interfund Transfers									
Total Off Budget:			\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

September 2013 Cash Flow Report

9/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 1

Category Description	9/1/2013- 9/30/2013
INCOME	
Interest Income	124.84
Other Inc	
Zoning	625.00
TOTAL Other Inc	625.00
Prop Tax 2013	
Receipts 2013	
Int	0.00
Tax	3,143.68
TOTAL Receipts 2013	3,143.68
TOTAL Prop Tax 2013	3,143.68
Prop Tax Prior Years	
Prop Tax 2004	
Receipts 2004	
Int	2.24
Tax	3.32
TOTAL Receipts 2004	5.56
TOTAL Prop Tax 2004	5.56
Prop Tax 2005	
Receipts 2005	
Int	19.80
Tax	28.67
TOTAL Receipts 2005	48.47
TOTAL Prop Tax 2005	48.47
Prop Tax 2006	
Receipts 2006	
Int	26.89
Tax	17.28
TOTAL Receipts 2006	44.17
TOTAL Prop Tax 2006	44.17
Prop Tax 2007	
Receipts 2007	
Int	15.12
Tax	17.28
TOTAL Receipts 2007	32.40
TOTAL Prop Tax 2007	32.40
Prop Tax 2008	
Receipts 2008	
Int	11.88
Tax	13.95
TOTAL Receipts 2008	25.83
TOTAL Prop Tax 2008	25.83
Prop Tax 2009	
Receipts 2009	
Int	37.68
Tax	50.01
TOTAL Receipts 2009	87.69
TOTAL Prop Tax 2009	87.69
Prop Tax 2010	
Receipts 2010	

September 2013 Cash Flow Report

9/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 2

Category Description	9/1/2013- 9/30/2013
Int	36.66
Tax	56.83
TOTAL Receipts 2010	93.49
TOTAL Prop Tax 2010	93.49
Prop Tax 2011	
Receipts 2011	
Int	32.98
Tax	57.38
TOTAL Receipts 2011	90.36
TOTAL Prop Tax 2011	90.36
Prop Tax 2012	
Receipts 2012	
Int	0.58
Tax	10.53
TOTAL Receipts 2012	11.11
TOTAL Prop Tax 2012	11.11
TOTAL Prop Tax Prior Years	439.08
Sales Tax	
Sales & Use Dist	1,743.46
TOTAL Sales Tax	1,743.46
Veh Tax	
Coll	
2003	-0.01
2009	-0.04
2010	-0.01
2011	-0.21
2012	-1.25
2013	-5.98
TOTAL Coll	-7.50
Int 2003	0.24
Int 2009	0.71
Int 2010	0.20
Int 2011	1.93
Int 2012	5.43
Int 2013	1.46
Tax 2003	0.21
Tax 2009	2.00
Tax 2010	0.78
Tax 2011	12.10
Tax 2012	79.89
Tax 2013	397.35
TOTAL Veh Tax	494.80
TOTAL INCOME	6,570.86
EXPENSES	
Uncategorized	0.00
Ads	171.63
Attorney	300.00
Emp	
Benefits	
Dental	73.00

September 2013 Cash Flow Report

9/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 3

Category Description	9/1/2013- 9/30/2013
Life	50.40
NCLGERS	855.80
Vision	14.00
TOTAL Benefits	993.20
FICA	
Med	125.78
Soc Sec	537.82
TOTAL FICA	663.60
Payroll	105.17
TOTAL Emp	1,761.97
Office	
Bank	-2.25
Clerk	2,626.91
Council	600.00
Deputy Clerk	637.50
Finance Officer	2,425.01
Maint	
Materials	59.98
Service	2,364.00
TOTAL Maint	2,423.98
Mayor	400.00
Misc	62.98
Supplies	55.52
Tel	336.86
Util	210.84
TOTAL Office	9,777.35
Planning	
Administration	
Salaries	2,271.35
TOTAL Administration	2,271.35
TOTAL Planning	2,271.35
Street Lighting	157.61
Tax Coll	
Bill	
Services	50.00
TOTAL Bill	50.00
Contract	47.33
Sal	150.00
TOTAL Tax Coll	247.33
Travel	632.49
TOTAL EXPENSES	15,319.73
TRANSFERS	
FROM Check Min Spgs	30,000.00
TO MM Sav ParkSterling	-30,000.00
TOTAL TRANSFERS	0.00
OVERALL TOTAL	-8,748.87

Register Report

9/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 1

Date	Num	Description	Memo	Category	Amount
BALANCE 8/31/2013					11,652.11
9/4/2013	4068	UNC School Of G...	I/N IN40426 Tax C...	Office:Misc	-62.98
9/4/2013	4069	Xerox Corporation	I/N 069739798 (FY...	Office:Supplies	-28.86
9/4/2013	4070	Frederick Becker III	7/13 - 8/13 reimbur...	Travel	-88.34
9/4/2013	EFT	Debit Card (Bojan...	Meal: Zoning Offici...	Travel	-6.28
9/5/2013	EFT	Debit Card (Arby's)	Meal: Zoning Offici...	Travel	-9.55
9/5/2013	EFT	American Commu...	Service Charge Re...	Office:Bank	27.62
9/6/2013	EFT	Debit Card (Holid...	Hotel: Zoning Admi...	Travel	-206.58
9/10/2013	407...	Municipal Insuran...		Emp:Benefits:Life	-50.40
				Emp:Benefits:Dental	-73.00
				Emp:Benefits:Vision	-14.00
9/10/2013	4072	Taylor & Sons Mo...	I/N 1815 9/13 (FY2...	Office:Maint:Service	-290.00
9/10/2013	4073	Jan-Pro Cleaning ...	I/N 20611 Janitorial...	Office:Maint:Service	-195.00
9/10/2013	4074	Vicky A Brooks	Mileage: 9/4-9/6 Zo...	Travel	-219.50
9/10/2013	4075	The Enquirer-Jour...	30065439 (FY2013)	Ads	-171.63
9/10/2013	4076	Clark, Griffin & M...	I/N 4119 9/13 (FY2...	Attorney	-300.00
9/10/2013	4077	Union County Pu...	84361*00 (FY2013)	Office:Util	-15.47
9/10/2013	4078	LexisNexis	I/N 1534916-20130...	Tax Coll:Bill:Services	-50.00
9/13/2013	EFT	Debit Card (Waxh...	Pressure Washer r...	Office:Maint:Materials	-50.95
9/13/2013	EFT	Debit Card (Kang...	Gas - Pressure Wa...	Office:Maint:Materials	-9.03
9/16/2013	EFT...	NC Department of...	Elec Franchise	[State Revenues Receivable]	46,348.00
			Telecom Sales Tax	[State Revenues Receivable]	1,476.00
			Video Sales Tax	[State Revenues Receivable]	5,317.17
			Nat Gas Excise TAX	[State Revenues Receivable]	65.00
9/16/2013	EFT	NC Department of...	7/31/13 Sales & Us...	Sales Tax:Sales & Use Dist	1,681.02
9/16/2013	EFT	NC Department of...	2013 Hold Harmles...	Sales Tax:Sales & Use Dist	62.44
9/16/2013	EFT	Union County	NCVTS (FY2013)	Veh Tax:Tax 2012	1.73
9/16/2013	EFT...	Union County		Prop Tax 2013:Receipts 2013:Tax	3,143.68
				Prop Tax 2013:Receipts 2013:Int	0.00
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	10.53
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	0.58
				Tax Coll:Contract	-47.33
				Veh Tax:Tax 2013	397.35
				Veh Tax:Int 2013	1.46
				Veh Tax:Coll:2013	-5.98
				Veh Tax:Tax 2012	78.16
				Veh Tax:Int 2012	5.43
				Veh Tax:Coll:2012	-1.25
				Veh Tax:Tax 2011	12.10
				Veh Tax:Int 2011	1.93
				Veh Tax:Coll:2011	-0.21
				Veh Tax:Tax 2010	0.78
				Veh Tax:Int 2010	0.20
				Veh Tax:Coll:2010	-0.01
				Veh Tax:Tax 2009	2.00
				Veh Tax:Int 2009	0.71
				Veh Tax:Coll:2009	-0.04
				Veh Tax:Tax 2003	0.21
				Veh Tax:Int 2003	0.24
				Veh Tax:Coll:2003	-0.01
9/19/2013	4079	**VOID**Janet Ri...	Wrong Amount (FY...		0.00

Register Report

9/1/2013 Through 9/30/2013

10/10/2013

Page 2

Date	Num	Description	Memo	Category	Amount
9/19/2013	4080	Duke Power	1819573779 (Old ...	Office:Util	-22.05
9/19/2013	4081	Duke Power	18037844140 (FY2...	Office:Util	-173.32
9/19/2013	4082	Duke Power	2035221941 (FY20...	Street Lighting	-157.61
9/19/2013	4083	Windstream	061345970 (FY2013)	Office:Tel	-63.04
9/19/2013	4084	Windstream	061348611 (FY2013)	Office:Tel	-273.82
9/19/2013	4085	Janet Ridings	Mileage & Meal - D...	Travel	-102.24
9/23/2013	4086	Plyler Asphalt Se...	Driveway/Parking L...	Office:Maint:Service	-1,879.00
9/25/2013	EFT	Debit Card (WalM...	Ink Cartridge (FY2...	Office:Supplies	-26.66
9/26/2013	EFT	Point And Pay	06-057-045 Permit ...	Other Inc:Zoning	50.00
9/27/2013	EFT...	Advantage Payroll	Salary 8/13	Office:Clerk	-2,470.43
			Supplement 9/13	Office:Clerk	0.00
			Hours 9/13	Office:Deputy Clerk	-637.50
			Salary 9/13	Office:Finance Officer	-2,280.53
			Salary 9/13	Office:Mayor	-400.00
			Salary 9/13	Office:Council	-600.00
			Salary 9/13	Planning:Administration:Salaries	-2,136.05
			Salary 9/13	Tax Coll:Sal	-150.00
				Emp:FICA:Soc Sec	-537.82
				Emp:FICA:Med	-125.78
9/27/2013	EFT...	NC State Treasurer	9/13 LGERS contri...	Office:Clerk	-156.48
			9/13 LGERS contri...	Office:Finance Officer	-144.48
			9/13 LGERS contri...	Planning:Administration:Salaries	-135.30
			9/13 employer cont...	Emp:Benefits:NCLGERS	-855.80
9/27/2013	DE...	Deposit		Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	57.38
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	32.98
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	36.66
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	56.83
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	37.68
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	50.01
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	11.88
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	13.95
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	15.12
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	17.28
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	26.89
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	17.28
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	19.80
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	28.67
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	2.24
				Prop Tax Prior Years:Prop Tax 20...	3.32
9/27/2013	DEP	Deposit	#480a (FY2013)	Other Inc:Zoning	575.00
9/27/2013	TXFR	Transfer Money	transfer (FY2013)	[MM Sav ParkSterling]	-30,000...
9/30/2013	EFT	Advantage Payrol...	9/13 (FY2013)	Emp:Payroll	-105.17
9/30/2013	EFT	American Commu...	Service Charge 9/1...	Office:Bank	-25.37
TOTAL 9/1/2013 - 9/30/2013					14,332.46

BALANCE 9/30/2013	25,984.57
--------------------------	------------------

TOTAL INFLOWS	59,687.31
----------------------	------------------

TOTAL OUTFLOWS	-45,354.85
-----------------------	-------------------

NET TOTAL	14,332.46
------------------	------------------

September 2013

- Revenue Details
- Inter-bank Transfers

This page has been intentionally left blank.

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?		**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities					
Union		\$ 2,642,096.95	\$ 6,541,952.23	no		\$ -
Union	Fairview	\$ -	\$ 3,557.44	no		\$ -
Union	Hemby Bridge	\$ 1,609.75	\$ 3.31	yes	\$ 1,606.44	\$ 803.22
Union	Indian Trail	\$ 45,649.57	\$ 203,102.53	no		\$ -
Union	Lake Park	\$ 10,576.32	\$ 24,893.79	no		\$ -
Union	Marshville	\$ 33,470.35	\$ 29,010.14	yes	\$ 4,460.21	\$ 2,230.10
Union	Marvin	\$ 2,502.62	\$ 21,514.93	no		\$ -
Union	Mineral Springs	\$ 3,026.17	\$ 2,901.29	yes	\$ 124.88	\$ 62.44
Union	Monroe	\$ 763,958.86	\$ 791,771.88	no		\$ -
Union	Stallings	\$ 33,797.37	\$ 143,343.57	no		\$ -
Union	Unionville	\$ 8,889.01	\$ 4,223.94	yes	\$ 4,665.07	\$ 2,332.54
Union	Waxhaw	\$ 22,559.48	\$ 181,687.83	no		\$ -
Union	Weddington	\$ 13,607.87	\$ 24,801.25	no		\$ -
Union	Wesley Chapel	\$ 5,988.56	\$ 6,334.76	no		\$ -
Union	Wingate	\$ 11,470.21	\$ 21,174.23	no		\$ -

NC Sales and Use Tax Distribution

July 2013 Collections

Summary

September 11, 2013

		ARTICLE 39	ARTICLE 40	ARTICLE 42	ARTICLE 43	ARTICLE 44	ARTICLE 45	ARTICLE 46	CITY HH	TOTAL
UNION	(Ad Valorem)	\$ 1,253,592.75	\$ 925,084.64	\$ 673,293.95	\$ -	\$ (218.87)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (219,800.53)	\$ 2,631,951.94
	FAIRVIEW	\$ 667.13	\$ 492.31	\$ 358.31	\$ -	\$ (0.12)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 498.29	\$ 2,015.92
	HEMBY BRIDGE	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	INDIAN TRAIL	\$ 49,548.91	\$ 36,564.46	\$ 26,612.30	\$ -	\$ (8.65)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 37,008.12	\$ 149,725.14
	LAKE PARK	\$ 4,767.61	\$ 3,518.24	\$ 2,560.64	\$ -	\$ (0.83)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,560.93	\$ 14,406.59
	MARSHVILLE	\$ 5,705.63	\$ 4,210.45	\$ 3,064.44	\$ -	\$ (1.00)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,261.55	\$ 17,241.07
	MARVIN	\$ 4,165.95	\$ 3,074.25	\$ 2,237.50	\$ -	\$ (0.73)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,111.57	\$ 12,588.54
	MINERAL SPRINGS	\$ 556.31	\$ 410.52	\$ 298.79	\$ -	\$ (0.10)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 415.50	\$ 1,681.02
	MINT HILL *	\$ 43.19	\$ 31.87	\$ 23.19	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 32.27	\$ 130.52
	MONROE	\$ 151,366.50	\$ 111,700.41	\$ 81,297.66	\$ -	\$ (26.43)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 113,055.71	\$ 457,393.85
	STALLINGS *	\$ 27,010.44	\$ 19,932.27	\$ 14,507.08	\$ -	\$ (4.72)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,174.12	\$ 81,619.19
	UNIONVILLE	\$ 798.39	\$ 589.17	\$ 428.81	\$ -	\$ (0.14)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 596.31	\$ 2,412.54
	WAXHAW	\$ 36,267.67	\$ 26,763.61	\$ 19,479.05	\$ -	\$ (6.33)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27,088.35	\$ 109,592.35
	WEDDINGTON *	\$ 8,146.78	\$ 6,011.89	\$ 4,375.57	\$ -	\$ (1.42)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,084.83	\$ 24,617.65
	WESLEY CHAPEL	\$ 1,225.45	\$ 904.32	\$ 658.18	\$ -	\$ (0.21)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 915.30	\$ 3,703.04
	WINGATE	\$ 4,013.49	\$ 2,961.74	\$ 2,155.61	\$ -	\$ (0.70)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,997.68	\$ 12,127.82

DATE 8/30/13
 TIME 13:16:11
 USER PHH

UNION COUNTY

COLLECTIONS BY RCD/REV UNIT/YR-DATE RANGE
 DEPOSIT DATE RANGE: 8/01/2013 THRU 8/31/2013
 REPORT GROUP: 100 REAL AND PERSONAL
 REVENUE UNIT: 990 TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS

PAGE 29
 PROG# CL2138

YEAR	TAXES, ASSESSMENTS & MISC. CHARGES	LATE LIST	INTEREST	TOTAL COLLECTED	COMMISSION	NET OF COMMISSION
2012	10.33	.20	.58	11.11	.17	10.94
2013	3,141.29	2.39		3,143.68	47.16	3,096.52
TOTAL	3,151.62	2.59	.58	3,154.79	47.33	3,107.46

DATE 8/30/13
 TIME 13:16:11
 USER PHH

UNION COUNTY

COLLECTIONS BY RCOD/REV UNIT/YR-DATE RANGE
 DEPOSIT DATE RANGE: 8/01/2013 THRU 8/31/2013
 REPORT GROUP: 200 REGISTERED VEHICLE
 REVENUE UNIT: 990 TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS

PAGE 52
 PROG# CL2138

YEAR	TAXES, ASSESSMENTS & MISC. CHARGES	LATE LIST	INTEREST	TOTAL COLLECTED	COMMISSION	NET OF COMMISSION
2003	.21		.24	.45	.01	.44
2009	2.00		.71	2.71	.04	2.67
2010	.78		.20	.98	.01	.97
2011	12.10		1.93	14.03	.21	13.82
2012	78.16		5.43	83.59	1.25	82.34
2013	397.35		1.46	398.81	5.98	392.83
TOTAL	490.60		9.97	500.57	7.50	493.07

Town of Mineral Springs, NC



Step 1: Select Payments

Step 2: Review and Submit

Step 3: Confirmation and Receipt

Step 3: Confirmation and Receipt

Result: Payment Authorized Confirmation Number: 11466270

Your payment has been authorized successfully and payment will be processed.

The Town of Mineral Springs thanks you for your payment. For questions about your account, please call 704-243-0505 Thank you for using our bill payment services.

Please save or print a copy of this receipt for record keeping purposes.

My Bills

Description	Payment Amount
Zoning payment of \$50.00 on Parcel Number 06-057-045	\$50.00

Customer Information

First Name: Chris
 Last Name: Mezzamotte
 Address Line 1: 2204 Autumn Blaze
 Address Line 2:
 City: Waxhaw
 State: North Carolina
 Zip Code: 28173
 Phone Number: 704-588-4992
 Email Address: chrism@madisonconstructiongroup.net

Subtotal:	\$50.00
Convenience Fee:	\$2.95
Total Payment:	\$52.95

Payment Information

Card Number: *****
 Expiration Date: **

[Print](#)

				North Carolina Vehicle Tax System				
				NCVTS County				
				Report Date 8/7/2013 8:17:23 AM				
Vendor #	UNIT	GL Acct No.	Year For	Tax District	Vehicle Taxes	Vehicle Fees	Taxes and Fees	
	Union County	10543400-5630	10410400-4111	2012	001	\$7,477.36	\$0.00	\$7,477.36
	Union County	10543400-5630	10410400-4111	2013	001	\$12.80	\$0.00	\$12.80
638	Springs VFD	32543400-5630	32410400-4111	2012	015	\$9.40	\$0.00	\$9.40
440	Stallings VFD	39543400-5630	39410400-4111	2012	020	\$33.37	\$0.00	\$33.37
310	Hemby Bridge VFD	38543400-5630	38410400-4111	2012	023	\$46.10	\$0.00	\$46.10
636	Wesley Chapel VFD	37543400-5630	37410400-4111	2012	026	\$62.73	\$0.00	\$62.73
634	Waxhaw VFD	34543400-5630	34410400-4111	2012	028	\$38.86	\$0.00	\$38.86
1832	Marvin	78-220325	78-220325	2012	101	\$39.91	\$0.00	\$39.91
103	Monroe	78-220330	78-220330	2012	200	\$705.80	\$75.00	\$780.80
103	Monroe	78-220330	78-220330	2013	200	\$10.77	\$5.00	\$15.77
4064	Wingate	78-220370	78-220370	2012	300	\$23.01	\$0.00	\$23.01
8268	Waxhaw	78-220350	78-220350	2012	500	\$246.27	\$0.00	\$246.27
2924	Indian Trail	78-220310	78-220310	2012	600	\$189.30	\$0.00	\$189.30
4860	Stallings	78-220340	78-220340	2012	700	\$147.21	\$0.00	\$147.21
7518	Weddington	78-220360	78-220360	2012	800	\$91.21	\$0.00	\$91.21
1833	Lake Park	78-220315	78-220315	2012	900	\$47.75	\$0.00	\$47.75
19458	Fairview	78-220375	78-220375	2012	930	\$0.38	\$0.00	\$0.38
9262	Wesley Chapel	78-220365	78-220365	2012	970	\$16.84	\$0.00	\$16.84
11530	Unionville	78-220335	78-220335	2012	980	\$1.44	\$0.00	\$1.44
10870	Mineral Springs	78-220355	78-220355	2012	990	\$1.73	\$0.00	\$1.73
						\$9,202.24	\$80.00	\$9,282.24
Report Parameters								

Invoice Date	Invoice Number	Description	Invoice Amount
08/31/2013	400.1-13/08	TAX RECEIPTS - NCVTS	\$1.73

Vendor No.	Vendor Name	Check No.	Check Date	Check Amount
10870	TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS	00031327	09/16/2013	1.73



County of Union
 500 North Main Street
 Monroe, North Carolina 28112

Vendor Number Check Date Check Number
 10870 09/16/2013 00031327

"This disbursement has been approved as required by the Local Government Budget and Fiscal Control Act."

\$1.73

Pay **One Dollars and 73 cents *******

To The
 Order Of TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS
 E. ANDREWS-HINSON, TAX COLLECT
 PO BOX 600
 MINERAL SPRINGS NC 28108

**EFT COPY
 NON-NEGOTIABLE**

AP



County of Union
 500 North Main Street
 Monroe, North Carolina 28112

10870
 00031327

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS
 E. ANDREWS-HINSON, TAX COLLECT
 PO BOX 600
 MINERAL SPRINGS NC 28108



OFFICIAL CHECK

49-55
1031

5163960

ISSUED BY: MONEYGRAM PAYMENT SYSTEMS, INC.
P.O. BOX 9476, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55480
DRAWEE: BOKF, NA
9/27/2013
TULSA, OK

REMITTER THE TOWN OF MINERAL SPRING

PAY ***Thirty Thousand Dollars and No Cents***

DOLLARS \$ \$30,000.00

TO THE ORDER OF THE TOWN OF MINERAL SPRING

DRAWER: YADKIN BANK

Angie L. Adams
MP
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

⑈ 5163960⑈ ⑆ 103100551⑆ 0160012640254⑈



OFFICIAL CHECK
PURCHASER'S RECEIPT

5163960

9/27/2013

REMITTER THE TOWN OF MINERAL SPRING

PAY ***Thirty Thousand Dollars and No Cents***

DOLLARS \$ \$30,000.00

TO THE ORDER OF THE TOWN OF MINERAL SPRING

DRAWER: YADKIN BANK



1-888-309-INFO
1-888-309-4636

ParkSterlingBank.com

RECEIPT



receipt Drawer: 20104 9/27/13
Trans#: 65 16:10:48
*****0549
Checking Deposit \$30000.00
Thank you for banking at Park Sterling!

September 2013 FY2012-13 Year-end Data Notes & Highlights

Following is a summary of the final FY2012-2013 income and expenditures. Actual (corrected) amounts of all Accounts Receivable and Accounts Payable for FY2012-13 are reflected in this report, so it should be considered accurate. These figures have been submitted to Robert M. Burns, CPA, as part of the audit documentation. The sales tax distribution for 6/13 was received on 8/15/13, and the corrected figure appears in the final Excel monthly revenue summary spreadsheet. I have also included the fourth quarter franchise tax distribution in the Excel spreadsheet; this distribution was received on 9/16/13, and also appears in the September 2013 register report.

Actual FY2012-13 income from all sources was \$330,034.31. Budgeted revenues were \$301,050, so income exceeded budget by \$28,984.31. Over half of that amount, or \$14,981, was attributable to greater-than-budgeted electric franchise tax distributions.

Total expenditures were \$236,225.69, of which \$21,326.55 was for capital expenditures. Non-capital operating expenditures totaled \$214,899.14, or \$48,745.86 below the budgeted amount of \$263,645.00. The largest share of that amount, \$29,940.72, represented below-budget spending in "Office" and "Planning".

With actual General Fund expenditures of \$236,225.69 against actual revenues of \$330,034.31, and transfers to the Greenway Parking Capital Project Fund of \$3,553.69, we realized an increase in our General Fund balance of \$90,254.93 at the end of FY2012-13.

This page is intentionally left blank.

Cash Flow Report FY2012 YTD Inc Rcv/Pbl

7/1/2012 Through 6/30/2013

9/11/2013

Page 1

Category Description	7/1/2012- 6/30/2013
INCOME	
Bank Post Errors	
Bank Post Error Correction	0.60
Bank Post Error Occurrence	-0.60
TOTAL Bank Post Errors	0.00
Dup Prop Tax	
Receipts	105.71
Refunds	-105.71
TOTAL Dup Prop Tax	0.00
Franchise	
Cable	3,098.00
Util	194,981.00
TOTAL Franchise	198,079.00
Interest Income	1,042.76
Other Inc	
Zoning	3,375.00
TOTAL Other Inc	3,375.00
Prop Tax 2012	
Receipts 2012	
Int	137.63
Tax	64,843.90
TOTAL Receipts 2012	64,981.53
TOTAL Prop Tax 2012	64,981.53
Prop Tax Prior Years	
Prop Tax 1999	
Receipts 1999	
Int	45.93
Tax	23.12
TOTAL Receipts 1999	69.05
TOTAL Prop Tax 1999	69.05
Prop Tax 2000	
Receipts 2000	
Int	84.77
Tax	50.59
TOTAL Receipts 2000	135.36
TOTAL Prop Tax 2000	135.36
Prop Tax 2001	
Receipts 2001	
Int	90.58
Tax	50.59
TOTAL Receipts 2001	141.17
TOTAL Prop Tax 2001	141.17
Prop Tax 2002	
Receipts 2002	
Int	422.49
Tax	156.74
TOTAL Receipts 2002	579.23
TOTAL Prop Tax 2002	579.23
Prop Tax 2003	
Annexation 2003	

Cash Flow Report FY2012 YTD Inc Rcv/Pbl

7/1/2012 Through 6/30/2013

9/11/2013

Page 2

Category Description	7/1/2012- 6/30/2013
Receipts 2003	
Int	7.93
Tax	10.69
TOTAL Receipts 2003	18.62
TOTAL Annexation 2003	18.62
Receipts 2003	
Int	123.46
Tax	88.18
TOTAL Receipts 2003	211.64
TOTAL Prop Tax 2003	230.26
Prop Tax 2004	
Receipts 2004	
Int	198.40
Tax	164.80
TOTAL Receipts 2004	363.20
TOTAL Prop Tax 2004	363.20
Prop Tax 2005	
Receipts 2005	
Int	296.23
Tax	245.22
TOTAL Receipts 2005	541.45
TOTAL Prop Tax 2005	541.45
Prop Tax 2006	
Receipts 2006	
Int	318.20
Tax	295.00
TOTAL Receipts 2006	613.20
TOTAL Prop Tax 2006	613.20
Prop Tax 2007	
Receipts 2007	
Int	303.51
Tax	300.88
TOTAL Receipts 2007	604.39
Refunds 2007	
Int	-1.27
Tax	-15.81
TOTAL Refunds 2007	-17.08
TOTAL Prop Tax 2007	587.31
Prop Tax 2008	
Receipts 2008	
Int	311.85
Tax	462.07
TOTAL Receipts 2008	773.92
Refunds 2008	
Int	-7.99
Tax	-14.64
TOTAL Refunds 2008	-22.63
TOTAL Prop Tax 2008	751.29
Prop Tax 2009	
Receipts 2009	
Int	352.54

Cash Flow Report FY2012 YTD Inc Rcv/Pbl

7/1/2012 Through 6/30/2013

9/11/2013

Page 3

Category Description	7/1/2012- 6/30/2013
Tax	986.55
TOTAL Receipts 2009	1,339.09
Refunds 2009	
Int	-1.02
Tax	-14.64
TOTAL Refunds 2009	-15.66
TOTAL Prop Tax 2009	1,323.43
Prop Tax 2010	
Receipts 2010	
Int	257.67
Tax	836.69
TOTAL Receipts 2010	1,094.36
Refunds 2010	
Int	-0.21
Tax	-14.64
TOTAL Refunds 2010	-14.85
TOTAL Prop Tax 2010	1,079.51
Prop Tax 2011	
Receipts 2011	
Int	241.39
Tax	989.77
TOTAL Receipts 2011	1,231.16
TOTAL Prop Tax 2011	1,231.16
TOTAL Prop Tax Prior Years	7,645.62
Sales Tax	
Cable TV	20,791.84
Natural Gas Excise	395.00
Refunds	4,748.05
Sales & Use Dist	17,853.20
telecommunications	6,231.36
TOTAL Sales Tax	50,019.45
Veh Tax	
Coll	
2002	-0.05
2003	-0.06
2004	-0.01
2006	-0.14
2007	-0.17
2008	-0.14
2009	-0.13
2010	-0.38
2011	-6.59
2012	-66.23
2013	-1.18
TOTAL Coll	-75.08
Int 2002	1.60
Int 2003	1.92
Int 2004	0.19
Int 2006	3.50
Int 2007	3.50
Int 2008	2.66

Cash Flow Report FY2012 YTD Inc Rcv/Pbl

7/1/2012 Through 6/30/2013

9/11/2013

Page 4

Category Description	7/1/2012- 6/30/2013
Int 2009	1.12
Int 2010	3.49
Int 2011	18.99
Int 2012	25.82
Tax 2002	1.89
Tax 2003	2.31
Tax 2004	0.26
Tax 2006	5.94
Tax 2007	7.66
Tax 2008	6.42
Tax 2009	6.85
Tax 2010	21.40
Tax 2011	409.30
Tax 2012	4,362.38
Tax 2013	78.83
TOTAL Veh Tax	4,890.95
TOTAL INCOME	330,034.31
EXPENSES	
Uncategorized	0.00
Ads	593.51
Attorney	4,050.77
Audit	4,200.00
Capital Outlay	
Beautification	10,335.70
Equipment	6,240.61
Furniture	2,953.26
Office	1,796.98
TOTAL Capital Outlay	21,326.55
Community	
Donation	8,800.00
Greenway	2,411.65
Maint	5,665.32
Special Events	61.89
TOTAL Community	16,938.86
Dues	4,530.00
Elections	522.50
Emp	
Benefits	
Dental	660.00
Fees	1.52
Life	554.40
NCLGERS	9,383.16
TOTAL Benefits	10,599.08
Bond	550.00
FICA	
Med	1,413.09
Soc Sec	6,042.19
TOTAL FICA	7,455.28
Payroll	1,351.56
Work Comp	692.98

Cash Flow Report FY2012 YTD Inc Rcv/Pbl

7/1/2012 Through 6/30/2013

9/11/2013

Page 5

Category Description	7/1/2012- 6/30/2013
TOTAL Emp	20,648.90
Fire Protection	20,691.00
Ins	3,648.61
Newsletter	
Post	268.18
Printing	370.01
TOTAL Newsletter	638.19
Office	
Bank	-17.78
Clerk	28,956.00
Council	7,200.00
Deputy Clerk	7,955.75
Equip	924.89
Finance Officer	28,056.00
Maint	
Materials	1,231.55
Service	6,725.75
TOTAL Maint	7,957.30
Mayor	4,800.00
Misc	439.27
Post	990.80
Supplies	4,043.24
Tel	6,160.45
Util	4,077.51
TOTAL Office	101,543.43
Planning	
Administration	
Contract	595.85
Salaries	25,008.00
TOTAL Administration	25,603.85
Misc	427.00
Ordinance Changes	1,905.00
TOTAL Planning	27,935.85
Street Lighting	1,891.08
Tax Coll	
Bill	
Services	186.00
TOTAL Bill	186.00
Contract	974.74
Sal	1,560.00
TOTAL Tax Coll	2,720.74
Training	
Officials	875.00
Staff	807.75
TOTAL Training	1,682.75
Travel	2,662.95
TOTAL EXPENSES	236,225.69
TRANSFERS	
FROM Check Min Spgs	111,000.00
FROM MM Sav ParkSterling	25,000.00

Cash Flow Report FY2012 YTD Inc Rcv/Pbl

7/1/2012 Through 6/30/2013

9/11/2013

Page 6

Category Description	7/1/2012- 6/30/2013
FROM Escrows	66,662.00
TO Check Min Spgs	-25,000.00
TO Copper Run Escrow	-66,662.00
TO MM Sav ParkSterling	-110,000.00
TO NCCMT_Cash	-1,000.00
TO Greenway Parking Capital Project Fund	-3,553.69
TOTAL TRANSFERS	-3,553.69
OVERALL TOTAL	90,254.93

Mineral Springs Monthly Revenue Summary 2012-2013

TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS									
REVENUE SUMMARY 2012-2013 - FINAL									
Source	Budget	Receivable	Rec'd YTD	% of Budget	July	August	September	October	November
Property Tax - prior	\$ 2,400.00	\$ (5,245.62)	\$ 7,645.62	318.6%	\$ 1,416.21	\$ 214.26	\$ 490.33	\$ 875.57	\$ 502.96
Property Tax - 2012	\$ 61,950.00	\$ (3,031.53)	\$ 64,981.53	104.9%	\$ -	\$ 35.11	\$ 3,645.09	\$ 2,650.82	\$ 8,635.71
Dupl. Property Tax	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Franchise Taxes: cable	\$ 2,400.00	\$ (698.00)	\$ 3,098.00	129.1%	\$ -	\$ 807.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 789.00
Franchise Taxes: utility	\$ 180,000.00	\$ (14,981.00)	\$ 194,981.00	108.3%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fund Balance Approp.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Gross Receipts Tax	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Interest	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 157.24	\$ 1,042.76	86.9%	\$ 87.16	\$ 75.63	\$ 65.70	\$ 72.42	\$ 68.52
Sales Tax	\$ 45,200.00	\$ (4,819.45)	\$ 50,019.45	110.7%	\$ -	\$ 283.79	\$ 1,620.12	\$ 1,475.54	\$ 1,496.74
Vehicle Taxes	\$ 4,400.00	\$ (490.95)	\$ 4,890.95	111.2%	\$ -	\$ 430.19	\$ 375.67	\$ 399.50	\$ 446.58
Zoning Fees	\$ 3,000.00	\$ (375.00)	\$ 3,375.00	112.5%	\$ 150.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 85.00	\$ 660.00	\$ 125.00
Other	\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		
Totals	\$ 301,050.00	\$ (28,984.31)	\$ 330,034.31	109.6%	\$ 1,653.37	\$ 2,020.98	\$ 6,281.91	\$ 6,133.85	\$ 12,064.51
	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	June a/r	
Property Tax - prior	\$ 617.90	\$ 707.02	\$ 1,738.31	\$ 284.08	\$ 117.43	\$ 334.52	\$ 347.03	\$ -	
Property Tax - 2012	\$ 20,245.00	\$ 18,610.62	\$ 7,776.63	\$ 1,523.90	\$ 852.49	\$ 564.59	\$ 341.29	\$ 100.28	
Dupl. Property Tax	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Franchise Taxes: cable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 809.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 693.00	\$ -	\$ -	
Franchise Taxes: utility	\$ 60,892.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 44,702.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43,039.00	\$ 46,348.00	
Fund Balance Approp.	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Gross Receipts Tax	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Interest	\$ 70.80	\$ 77.12	\$ 71.65	\$ 79.36	\$ 113.28	\$ 132.42	\$ 128.70	\$ -	
Sales Tax	\$ 8,023.86	\$ 1,348.93	\$ 6,221.81	\$ 8,556.95	\$ 1,239.10	\$ 1,499.99	\$ 8,327.01	\$ 9,925.61	
Vehicle Taxes	\$ 475.64	\$ 481.54	\$ 401.59	\$ 321.70	\$ 368.06	\$ 409.94	\$ 458.92	\$ 321.62	
Zoning Fees	\$ 210.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 275.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 470.00	\$ 225.00	\$ -	
Other	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (0.60)	\$ -	\$ 0.60	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Totals	\$ 90,535.20	\$ 21,475.23	\$ 17,268.39	\$ 55,742.99	\$ 3,190.96	\$ 4,104.46	\$ 52,866.95	\$ 56,695.51	\$ -

Mineral Springs Budget Comparison 2012-2013

TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS									
BUDGET COMPARISON 2012-2013 (INCLUDES BUDGET AMENDMENTS 2012-01 & 2012-02)									
Appropriation dept	Budget	Unspent	Spent YTD	% of Budget	July	August	September	October	November
Advertising	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,206.49	\$ 593.51	33.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 114.42	\$ -
Attorney	\$ 9,600.00	\$ 5,549.23	\$ 4,050.77	42.2%	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 750.77	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00
Audit	\$ 4,200.00	\$ -	\$ 4,200.00	100.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Community Projects	\$ 18,900.00	\$ 1,961.14	\$ 16,938.86	89.6%	\$ 102.58	\$ 45.71	\$ 473.06	\$ 225.00	\$ 875.00
Contingency	\$ 2,900.00	\$ 2,900.00	\$ -	0.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dues	\$ 4,625.00	\$ 95.00	\$ 4,530.00	97.9%	\$ 1,037.00	\$ 2,978.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Elections	\$ 600.00	\$ 77.50	\$ 522.50	87.1%	\$ -	\$ 522.50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Employee Overhead	\$ 22,900.00	\$ 2,251.10	\$ 20,648.90	90.2%	\$ 1,514.05	\$ 2,848.95	\$ 815.74	\$ 1,616.52	\$ 1,596.33
Fire Department	\$ 21,000.00	\$ 309.00	\$ 20,691.00	98.5%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Insurance	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 851.39	\$ 3,648.61	81.1%	\$ 3,648.61	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Newsletter	\$ 2,400.00	\$ 1,761.81	\$ 638.19	26.6%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 638.19	\$ -
Office	\$ 118,412.00	\$ 16,868.57	\$ 101,543.43	85.8%	\$ 9,526.59	\$ 9,443.21	\$ 7,528.50	\$ 8,096.18	\$ 7,626.46
Planning & Zoning	\$ 41,008.00	\$ 13,072.15	\$ 27,935.85	68.1%	\$ 2,328.62	\$ 2,270.04	\$ 1,955.30	\$ 2,084.00	\$ 2,084.00
Street Lighting	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 108.92	\$ 1,891.08	94.6%	\$ -	\$ 159.31	\$ 159.31	\$ 156.66	\$ 156.66
Tax Collection	\$ 2,800.00	\$ 79.26	\$ 2,720.74	97.2%	\$ 130.00	\$ 130.53	\$ 184.68	\$ 169.76	\$ 259.54
Training	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 1,317.25	\$ 1,682.75	56.1%	\$ 155.00	\$ -	\$ 750.00	\$ 125.00	\$ -
Travel	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 337.05	\$ 2,662.95	88.8%	\$ 962.74	\$ -	\$ 161.65	\$ 126.20	\$ 141.80
Capital Outlay	\$ 37,405.00	\$ 16,078.45	\$ 21,326.55	57.0%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,923.25	\$ 790.71
Totals	\$ 301,050.00	\$ 64,824.31	\$ 236,225.69	78.5%	\$ 19,705.19	\$ 18,698.25	\$ 12,779.01	\$ 24,575.18	\$ 13,830.50
Off Budget:									
Tax Refunds			\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Interfund Transfers			\$ 3,553.69		\$ 435.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 622.75	\$ 277.12
Total Off Budget:			\$ 3,553.69		\$ 435.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 622.75	\$ 277.12

Mineral Springs Budget Comparison 2012-2013

Appropriation dept	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	June a/p
Advertising	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 300.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 179.09
Attorney	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00	\$ -
Audit	\$ 4,200.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Community Projects	\$ 732.00	\$ 1,917.88	\$ 893.23	\$ 1,618.65	\$ 510.94	\$ 144.81	\$ 8,500.00	\$ 900.00
Contingency	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dues	\$ -	\$ 215.00	\$ 300.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Elections	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Employee Overhead	\$ 1,498.11	\$ 1,831.54	\$ 1,605.98	\$ 1,610.75	\$ 2,405.16	\$ 1,612.37	\$ 1,693.40	\$ -
Fire Department	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,691.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,000.00	\$ -
Insurance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Newsletter	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Office	\$ 7,656.53	\$ 9,396.90	\$ 8,384.79	\$ 9,224.33	\$ 8,400.41	\$ 8,036.62	\$ 7,985.42	\$ 237.49
Planning & Zoning	\$ 2,084.00	\$ 2,084.00	\$ 2,359.00	\$ 2,679.85	\$ 3,199.04	\$ 2,284.00	\$ 2,524.00	\$ -
Street Lighting	\$ 156.66	\$ 156.66	\$ 157.67	\$ 157.63	\$ 157.63	\$ 157.63	\$ 157.63	\$ 157.63
Tax Collection	\$ 433.68	\$ 409.16	\$ 246.65	\$ 152.86	\$ 142.79	\$ 224.47	\$ 185.12	\$ 51.50
Training	\$ -	\$ 3.50	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 125.00	\$ -	\$ 524.25	\$ -
Travel	\$ -	\$ 92.79	\$ 271.16	\$ 155.84	\$ 133.35	\$ 404.31	\$ 213.11	\$ -
Capital Outlay	\$ 1,575.00	\$ 1,796.98		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,240.61	\$ -
	\$ 18,635.98	\$ 18,204.41	\$ 23,509.48	\$ 15,899.91	\$ 15,374.32	\$ 13,164.21	\$ 40,323.54	\$ 1,525.71
Off Budget:								
Tax Refunds	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Interfund Transfers	\$ 1,560.50	\$ 189.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180.18	\$ 289.14	\$ -
	\$ 1,560.50	\$ 189.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180.18	\$ 289.14	\$ -

Gas, Power, Telecommunications, and Video Programming Distribution

Distribution Date September 16, 2013		For Quarter Ending June 30, 2013				Deposit Date September 16, 2013
Local Government		Excise Tax On Piped Natural Gas	Franchise Tax on Electric Power	Sales Tax on Telecommunication Services	Sales Tax On Video Programming	Total Distribution
County of	Union	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 132,132.29	\$ 132,132.29
Town of	Fairview	\$ 56.00	\$ 22,568.00	\$ 10,411.00	\$ 2,108.20	\$ 35,143.20
Town of	Hemby Bridge	\$ 165.00	\$ 9,305.08	\$ 4,690.00	\$ 3,986.18	\$ 18,146.26
Town of	Indian Trail	\$ 57,948.00	\$ 187,120.01	\$ 25,598.00	\$ 76,845.22	\$ 347,511.23
Town of	Lake Park	\$ 1,410.00	\$ 13,668.02	\$ 859.00	\$ 5,368.57	\$ 21,305.59
Town of	Marshville	\$ 3.00	\$ 28,040.32	\$ 11,573.00	\$ 3,225.05	\$ 42,841.37
Town of	Marvin	\$ 1,242.00	\$ 30,775.70	\$ 17,790.00	\$ 16,538.52	\$ 66,346.22
Town of	Mineral Springs	\$ 65.00	\$ 46,348.00	\$ 1,476.00	\$ 5,317.17	\$ 53,206.17
City of	Monroe	\$ 2,600.00	\$ 415,709.67	\$ 120,421.00	\$ 58,209.39	\$ 596,940.06
Town of	Stallings	\$ 9,234.00	\$ 94,292.08	\$ 2,825.00	\$ 43,792.35	\$ 150,143.43
Town of	Unionville	\$ -	\$ 34,112.00	\$ 18,490.00	\$ 7,041.74	\$ 59,643.74
Town of	Waxhaw	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 61,337.18	\$ 23,742.00	\$ 40,816.77	\$ 133,895.95
Town of	Weddington	\$ 3,774.00	\$ 61,939.20	\$ 2,553.00	\$ 24,376.29	\$ 92,642.49
Village of	Wesley Chapel	\$ 3,366.00	\$ 40,935.00	\$ 2,830.00	\$ 24,188.56	\$ 71,319.56
Town of	Wingate	\$ -	\$ 17,865.11	\$ 9,556.00	\$ 5,520.36	\$ 32,941.47

MEMO

To: Mineral Springs Town Council
From: Janet Ridings
Date: November 14, 2013
Subject: Agenda No. #5 Hiring a Collection Agency for Tax Collection

I have run into two different residents who pay their Union County property taxes with their business checking accounts. Both of these residents owe substantial back taxes and I have no way to garnish either employer or bank accounts because of this.

While attending the North Carolina Debt Setoff Seminar, I met Angie Hutchins, Collection Manager from DataMax Interstate Credit Collection and they work with many municipalities on numerous collection issues. This company has a good working relationship with the North Carolina Debt Setoff Program and the North Carolina Department of Revenue. They have also worked with Union County in the past on collection issues.

The two residents are as follows:

Timothy Barnett 2003 – 2011 (03-08 = \$458.72 @ 50%, 09-11 = \$151.97 @ 25%) \$610.69

Tax Map Number: 06084041 (6603 Pleasure Drive)

Karl Von Goble 2008 – 2011 (08 = \$78.24 @ 50%, 09-11 = 174.40 @ 25%) \$252.64

Tax Map Number: 05033054, 05033054, 05033057, 05033058, 05033059 (Five Properties on Western Union School Road)

DataMax's fee is 25% (on accounts 5 years to current and 50% for accounts that are 6 years and older) of what they collect; if they collect nothing, we pay nothing. The Collection Agency can put notations not only on their personal credit report but their business credit report. They certainly have a lot more power than I do.

After a brief discussion with Bobby Griffin, this is the least expensive way to collect these taxes. Any type of foreclosure would be extremely costly and lengthy and will use as a last resort.

NOTE: When you look at the Unpaid Property Tax register provided in the Tax Report you will notice that in Mr. Barnett's case he has unpaid taxes dating back to 1999 but unfortunately we can no longer actively solicit anything beyond 10 years. According to Union County Tax Administration and State Statute delinquent taxes for 1999 through 2003 will no longer be listed on this register or be collected; this will take place at the end of the 2013 fiscal year.

Vicky Brooks

From: Carolyn <CWADE@carolina.rr.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2013 10:24 AM
To: msvickybrooks@aol.com
Subject: Fw: More MOPH 634 info.

Agenda Item
#6
November 14, 2013

----- Original Message -----

From: "Carolyn" <CWADE@carolina.rr.com>
To: <townclerk@admin.indiantrail.org>
Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2013 10:17 AM
Subject: Fw: More MOPH 634 info.

>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Carolyn" <CWADE@carolina.rr.com>
> To: <Vicky Brooks
> Sent:
> Subject: More MOPH 634 info.
>
>
>>
>> -----
>> >
>>
>> . Please consider joining your fellow towns or cities in becoming a
>> "Purple Heart City". We MOPH 634 are just getting started in Union
>> County but we already have Monroe, NC as a "Purple Heart City". Each
>> town or city is very important to us.. Being a Purple Heart City, Town,
>> Village, Hospital, Race Track or State basically means "PUBLIC
>> ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OR APPRECIATION TO ALL VETERANS. When all towns in Union
>> County become "Purple Heart" townships, Union County can become a "Purple
>> Heart County". Currently our Chapter holds the record in the Nation for
>> receiving such Proclamations. There is no cost to your city by any means.
>> All that we ask is that at your Presentation by our Commander, please
>> have your Proclamation ready to present. Also, whenever the town is
>> ready, we ask that you display, at your town limits, a small sign
>> proclaiming that you are a "Purple Heart City" or town. A "Purple Heart
>> Village" on a Proclamation would really sound outstanding. Just remember,
>> our whole mission is reaching out to all Veterans especially Purple Heart
>> Veterans. We would surely consider it an honor if all 14 of your fine
>> town would consider this honor to MOPH 634 !! Thank You All, Patriot,
>> Ronald Wade 704-813-9330.
>



TOWN OF RANLO
1624 SPENCER MOUNTAIN ROAD
GASTONIA, NORTH CAROLINA 28054
PHONE (704) 824-3461 / FAX (704) 824-3423



A PROCLAMATION

In Honor of the Military Order Of the Purple Heart

As Issued By:

The Town of Ranlo, North Carolina

Whereas, The Purple Heart is the oldest military decoration still in present use and was initially created by George Washington in 1782, as the "Badge of Military Merit"; and,

Whereas, The Purple Heart was the first American Service Award made available to the common soldier, and is awarded to any member of the United States Armed Services wounded or killed in combat with a declared enemy of the United States; and,

Whereas, The mission of The Military Order Of The Purple Heart, chartered by an act of Congress, is to foster an environment of goodwill among the combat-wounded veteran members and their families; to promote patriotism; to support related legislative initiatives; and, most importantly, to make sure we never forget the sacrifices made by those so decorated; and,

Whereas, There have been many former Ranlo residents who made the ultimate sacrifice in giving their lives in the cause of freedom, and the numerous combat-wounded veterans who currently reside within the Town of Ranlo and in Gaston County, and as Purple Heart awardees who contribute to their community in countless ways; and,

Whereas, The Town of Ranlo in Gaston County, North Carolina falls within the purview of Chapter 634 of the Military Order of the Purple Heart, and wishes to pledge its strong support for this noble organization and for those who put their lives at risk in service of their Country and their fellow citizens.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT PROCLAIMED AND RESOLVED, That the Town of Ranlo, North Carolina, by the affirmative action and declaration of its Board of Commissioners does hereby bestow honor and gratitude upon the Military Order of the Purple Heart, Charter 634, and proudly supports the recognition of the Town of Ranlo as a "Purple Heart City in the State of North Carolina".

Adopted this 13th Day of June, 2013

Steven Alexander, Mayor

Donna Hulseby, Town Clerk



MEMO

To: Mineral Springs Town Council
From: Rick Becker
Date: November 8, 2013
Subject: NCDOT – Highway 75 sight distance improvement

Councilwoman Critz was recently reminded by a resident that the limited sight distance problem at the intersection of NC Highway 75 and McNeely Road has never been addressed by NCDOT. In fact, the town included this project as a priority in its request to NCDOT for inclusion in the “Moving Ahead” small maintenance project program in 2003 (see accompanying letter and priority list).

The NC-75 project was approved, and the town was notified by NCDOT that it would be completed in 2004 as I recall. Nine years later, the project has never been started and NCDOT never addressed why it was “pulled” from the project list.

Councilwoman Critz has offered to do some research with NCDOT in an effort to bring this public-safety project back onto NCDOT’s schedule.

May 1, 2003

Mr. B. G. Payne, P.E.
North Carolina Department of Transportation
716 West Main St
Albemarle, NC 28001

SUBJECT: *North Carolina: Moving Ahead* project list for Mineral Springs

Dear Mr. Payne,

Enclosed are descriptions of four projects in the Town of Mineral Springs that appear to fit the project selection criteria and which should be considered for the *North Carolina: Moving Ahead* program. Please consider these projects if and when the *North Carolina: Moving Ahead* program is implemented.

Please contact me if I may be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

Frederick Becker III
Mayor

North Carolina Moving Ahead!

Project Descriptions

TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS May 1, 2003

Project #1 – top priority: SR1290 (Happy Hollow Lane)

New pavement on existing roadway. This gravel-surface road has been on the state system since 1998. NCDOT completed an upgrade of the road bed, ditches and shoulders, culverts, and crushed rock surface in 2001. There are currently nine (9) residences on Happy Hollow Lane, and an additional five (5) residences on private Bow Hill Drive which are all served by Happy Hollow Lane. Total project length is approximately 1,600 feet. This is the only remaining state-maintained road in the Town of Mineral Springs which remains unpaved. This is the single state road need which receives the most requests for improvement from the public.

Project #2 – high priority: NC Hwy 75 (Waxhaw Highway)

Resurfacing of existing 2-lane highway. Current surface conditions are deteriorating rapidly. According to 1998 traffic counts, traffic volume for this segment was between 4,100 and 5,500 vehicles per day. This number is currently much higher, including a high percentage of large trucks and other commercial traffic. Total project length is approximately 3.76 miles. Resurfacing of this road was completed in the past two years from the eastern municipal boundary toward Monroe, and within the municipal limits of Waxhaw.

Project #3 – NC Hwy 75 (coordinate with #2 above)

Lowering roadway to improve sight distance west of McNeely Road. Visibility for traffic turning left onto Highway 75 from McNeely road is extremely poor due to a high spot in Highway 75 just west of the intersection. This is a hazardous situation. The vertical distance involved is small - approximately 10 feet – and could probably be corrected in a length of 500-600 feet. Cutting down this high point and regrading before resurfacing will greatly improve safety at this intersection.

Project #4 – NC Hwy 75 & Potter Rd (coordinate with #2 above)

Improving condition of road surface at intersection. There are two extremely misaligned drainage covers (6-10 inch vertical drop) which should be leveled. Shoulder conditions and connections to driveway entrances are also poor. Drainage at this intersection is a problem in rainy conditions. An overall leveling, pavement widening, drainage improvement, and shoulder upgrade would greatly enhance safety and usability of this busy intersection.

MEMO

To: Town Council
From: Vicky Brooks
Date: November 7, 2013
Re: Discussion and Consideration of a Mineral Springs 15th Anniversary Festival
Agenda Item #8– 11/14/13

The following was submitted to the Town Council in August of 2013:

1. Is the town committed to scheduling the event?
2. What kind of funding is the Council willing to budget for this event?
3. What date in August do we wish to target for the event? (Saturday dates for August 2014 are: 2, 9, 16, 23, 30)
4. What time should the event start? End?
5. Name the event – is it as simple as “Mineral Springs 15th Anniversary Festival” (does this imply that it is our 15th festival?) or “Mineral Springs Festival – Celebrating Mineral Springs 15th Anniversary” or Mineral Springs Country Festival – Celebrating Mineral Springs 15th Anniversary”.
6. What type of events would the Council like to see?
 - a. Craft Booths? Size? Fee? Power? (Waxhaw charges \$85 for a 10 x 10 space - \$120 for a 10 x 10 space with electricity)
 - b. Dunking Booth? (\$250 for 2 to 4 hours, plus a small delivery charge from Jumping Jacks Party Rentals & Fun Events) Will the Mayor/Council participate?
 - c. Moon Bounce (for kids)? - \$150 for 2 hours / \$165 for 4 hours
 - d. Art Exhibit?
 - e. BBQ Cookout Contest? The town would need to provide judges, a pork butt for each participant, a prize for the winner (and the 2nd and 3rd place participants), as well as power, lights and restroom facilities during the night leading up to the event. Would

the Council want the participants to sell their product to attendees? If so, the town would also have to provide additional meat to the participants.

- f. Bands? Would this be a paid band or local school bands?
- 7. How do we go about enlisting the help of volunteers?
- 8. Do we need to put together committees?
- 9. Are we looking to get sponsors (food/drink)?
- 10. Will we charge admission or just sell tickets for certain activities?
- 11. Further ideas?

Additional Information Gathered:

- 1. Event Standard Portable Toilet = \$159.98 per unit

Handicap Accessible Restroom = \$219.98 per unit

Includes - Supplies, Waste Handling and Disposal Fees. (Optional +\$10 waterless hand sanitizer dispenser, per unit price)

Price quoted above will cover you for a 1 to 10 day rental duration and includes a weekday delivery and pick up plus all applicable fees.

- 2. I have contacted Mr. Larry Raley by email to inquire about using their property for the event and was told that it won't be a problem; they will need for the town to sign a release and provide them with a Certificate of Insurance.

MEMO

To: Town Council
From: Vicky Brooks
Date: November 7, 2013
Re: Consideration of Purchasing an Additional Christmas Decoration
Agenda Item #9 – 11/14/13

Mayor Becker, Chief Gaddy and I have discussed the large span between Christmas decorations on the right side of Highway 75 (coming into town from Monroe). Chief Gaddy suggested that the town install a decoration on one of the poles fronting the fire department's parking lot; Chief Gaddy offered to provide the power to the decoration for this season.

MOSCA Design was contacted to inquire about the cost of purchasing another 6' (LED) Presidential Snowflake; the price for this one decoration is \$544.85 plus taxes & shipping. A quick ordering time for this item is imperative to assure that we receive prior to the installation of the other decorations.



P-700
6' Presidential Snowflake

**PROPOSED TEXT AMENDMENTS TO
ARTICLES 6, 10 & 11
FOR SUBMITTAL TO TOWN COUNCIL
AT PUBLIC HEARING ON NOVEMBER 14, 2013
RECOMMENDED BY PLANNING BOARD ON
OCTOBER 28, 2013**

NOTE¹: The following proposed text amendments are required by the revised North Carolina General Statutes that became effective October 1, 2013.

NOTE²: Amendments are shown with a strike-thru for deletions and bold, italic, underline for additions.

Section 11.1 Board of Adjustment Powers, Duties and Procedure

A Board of Adjustment is hereby established, which Board shall have all the powers and duties as authorized by Section 160A-388 of the General Statutes of North Carolina, and as otherwise provided for in this Ordinance. Generally, such powers and duties shall include, but not be restricted to, the following:

- a) Hearing and deciding all appeals from decisions made by the Zoning Administrator.
- ~~b) Hearing and deciding appeals that require interpretation of this Ordinance.~~
- eb)** Hearing and granting variances from the provisions of this Ordinance.

11.1.3 Jurisdiction

Each member of the Board of Adjustment shall have equal rights, privileges, and duties in all matters coming under the Board's purview, **except as provided for in Section 11.8.**

Section 11.2 Administrative Review

The Board of Adjustment shall hear and decide appeals **on decisions** ~~from and review any order, requirement, decision, or determination made by any~~ **of** administrative officials ~~charged with the enforcement of this Ordinance~~ **and may hear appeals arising out of any other ordinance that regulates land use or development,** and apply such interpretation to particular fact situations.

- 11.2.1** A written appeal may be taken by any person who has **standing under NCGS 160A-393(d) and has** first requested and received a ruling from the Zoning Administrator. An appeal to the Board of Adjustment shall be made as set forth hereinafter within ~~ten (10)~~ **thirty (30)** days of receipt by the

applicant of the written decision made by the Zoning Administrator.

- 11.2.2 A written appeal may be taken by any person **with standing under NCGS 160A-393(d) that has been** aggrieved or by an officer, department or board of the Town, within ~~ten (10)~~ **thirty (30)** days of a written decision made by the Zoning Administrator. An appeal stays all proceedings in furtherance of the action appealed from, unless the officer from whom the appeal is taken certifies to the Board of Adjustment, after notice of appeal has been filed with him, that because of facts stated in the certificate a stay would, in his opinion, cause imminent peril to life or property or that because the violation charged is transitory in nature a stay would seriously interfere with enforcement of the Ordinance. In such cases, proceedings shall not be stayed except by a restraining order, which may be granted by the Board of Adjustment or by a court of record on application, on notice to the officer from whom the appeal is taken and on due cause shown. **If enforcement proceedings are not stayed, the appellant may file with the Zoning Administrator a request for an expedited hearing of the appeal, and the Board of Adjustment shall meet to hear the appeal within fifteen (15) days after such a request is filed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, appeals of decisions granting a permit or otherwise affirming that a proposed use of property is consistent with the Ordinance shall not stay the further review of an application for permits or permissions to use such property. The appellant may request and the Board of Adjustment may grant a stay of a final decision of permit applications affected by the issue being appealed.**
- 11.2.3 A duplicate written application for an appeal, in the form specified in Section 11.4.1, detailing in full the grounds thereof, shall be filed with the Zoning Administrator **Town Clerk** on behalf of the Board of Adjustment. The Zoning Administrator shall submit his/her interpretation and the reasons therefore in writing to the Board of Adjustment, and shall immediately transmit all paper constituting the record to the Board of Adjustment. Said record shall also include the application, the Zoning Administrator's ruling, any related correspondence and the written application for appeal. **The official who made the decision shall transmit to the Board of Adjustment all documents and exhibits constituting the record upon which the action appealed from is taken. The official shall also provide a copy of the record to the appellant and to the owner of the property that is the subject of the appeal if the appellant is not the owner.**
- 11.2.5 Notice of a proposed the public hearing **shall be mailed to the person or entity whose appeal, application, or request is the subject of the hearing; the owner of the property that is the subject of the hearing if the owner did not initiate the hearing, and to any other persons entitled to receive notice as provided by the Ordinance. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the Town may rely on the Union County Tax**

Listing to determine owners of property entitled to mailed notice. The notice must be deposited in the mail at least ten (10) days, but not more than twenty-five (25) days prior to the date of the hearing. Within that same time period, the Town shall also prominently post a notice of the hearing on the site that is the subject of the hearing or on an adjacent street or highway right-of-way. of the subject appeal shall be given to the applicant and the Zoning Administrator by first class mail, which mailing must be made at least seven (7) calendar days prior to the date of the hearing.

11.2.6 **The official who made the decision shall be present at the hearing as a witness. The appellant shall not be limited at the hearing to matters stated in the notice of appeal. If any party or the Town would be unduly prejudiced by the presentation of matters not presented in the notice of appeal, the Board of Adjustment shall continue the hearing.** The Board of Adjustment must decide the matter which is the subject of the appeal within thirty (30) calendar days following the hearing conclusion or at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Adjustment following the hearing conclusion, whichever occurs later. The decision of the Board of Adjustment shall be in writing and shall be mailed by first class mail to the applicant.

11.2.8 The parties to an appeal that has been made under this subsection may agree to mediation or other forms of alternative dispute resolution.

11.3.2 The Board of Adjustment, before granting a variance, shall make the following findings:

- a) There are practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships in the way of carrying out the strict letter of the ordinance.
- b) **The hardship results from conditions that are peculiar to the property (i.e. location, size, topography).**
- c) **The hardship did not result from actions taken by the applicant or the property owner.**
- d) **The requested variance is consistent with the spirit, purpose, and intent of the Ordinance such that public safety is secured and substantial justice is achieved.**

This shall be construed to mean:

_____ 1) _____ If the property owner strictly complies with the provisions of the Ordinance, he can secure no reasonable return from, or make any reasonable use of his property and

_____ 2) The hardship results from the application of the Ordinance, and

_____ 3) The hardship is suffered by the applicant's property, and

_____ 4) The hardship is not the result of the applicant's own actions, and

- ~~5) The hardship is peculiar to the applicant's property.~~
- ~~b) That the variance is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of this Ordinance and preserves its spirit.~~
- ~~c) That in the granting of the variance, public health safety and welfare have been assured and substantial justice has been done.~~

~~That the reasons set forth in the application and the hearing justify the granting of a variance, and that the variance is a minimum one that will make possible reasonable uses of land or structures.~~

11.3.4 Any order of the Board of Adjustment in granting a variance shall expire, if a Zoning Permit, or Certificate of Compliance for such use (if a zoning permit is not required) has not been obtained within one (1) year from the date of the decision.

11.3.5 **Notice of the variance public hearing shall be given in the same manner as prescribed in Section 11.2.5**

Section 11.4 Application Procedure

The following regulations apply to all applications submitted to the Board of Adjustment:

11.4.1 Before a petition for an ~~interpretation~~, appeal, or variance shall be considered, a completed application of **on** a form provided by the Town of Mineral Springs accompanied by a fee (as established by the Town Council) shall be submitted to the ~~Zoning Administrator~~ **Town Clerk** as set forth in Section 11.2.3. The fee shall be waived for any petition initiated by the Zoning Administrator, the Town Clerk or the Town Council on behalf of the Town. The application shall contain the name, address, and telephone number of the applicant(s), and property owners if different from applicant(s), a description of the subject property with reference to deed book and page. The application shall also contain a list of names and addresses of adjoining and contiguous property owners on all sides and across any street and public right-of-way from the subject property. This information shall be based upon the current year Union County tax records. The application shall be accompanied by a map clearly showing the subject property and all contiguous property on either side and all property across any street or public right-of-way from the subject property.

11.4.2 The filing of any application stays all proceedings unless the Zoning Administrator certifies to the Board of Adjustment that a stay in his/her opinion will cause imminent peril to life or property, or, that because the violation charged is transitory in nature, a stay would seriously interfere with enforcement of the Ordinance. In that event, proceedings shall not be stayed except by a restraining order, which may be granted by the Board of Adjustment, or by a court of record, on application, on notice to the Zoning

Administrator, and on due cause shown. **If enforcement proceedings are not stayed, the appellant may file with the Zoning Administrator a request for an expedited hearing of the appeal, and the Board of Adjustment shall meet to hear the appeal within fifteen (15) days after such a request is filed.**

11.4.4 The Board of Adjustment shall give notice of the public hearing by sending notices by first class mail to the **person or entity whose appeal, application or request is the subject of** ~~parties to the hearing~~ and to all property owners contiguous or adjacent to the property (as defined in Section 42.1.2 **12.1.7d**). Said notices shall be mailed at least **not less than** ~~seven (7)~~ **ten (10)** **calendar days nor more than twenty-five (25)** calendar days prior to the public hearing; **to the owner of the property that is subject to the hearing if the owner did not initiate the hearing; and to any persons entitled to receive notice as provided by the Ordinance.** In addition, a conspicuous sign shall be placed in a conspicuous location on subject property(ies) indicating the nature of the public hearing and date, time and place at which it is to occur. Said sign shall be placed on the property(ies) at least **not less than** ~~seven (7)~~ **ten (10)** calendar days **nor more than twenty-five calendar days** prior to the public hearing and shall remain standing until the Board of Adjustment has reached its final decision. ~~Failure to mail notices or to post notices shall not invalidate any action taken with regard to the petition.~~

11.4.5 A written application for a variance must also demonstrate in detail, the following:

- 1) That special conditions and circumstances exist which are peculiar to the land, structure, or building involved and which are not applicable to other lands, structures, or buildings in the same district.
- 2) How a literal interpretation of the provisions of this Ordinance would deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in the same district under the terms of this Ordinance.
- 3) How said circumstances do not result from the intentional actions of the applicant.
- 4) How granting the variance requested will not confer on the applicant any special privilege that is denied by this Ordinance to other lands, structures or buildings in the same district.
- 5) That no nonconforming use of neighboring land, structures, or buildings in the same district and no permitted use of land, structures or buildings in other districts will be considered grounds for the issuance of a variance.

Should be - 11.4.6

11.4.5 In all matters before the Board of Adjustment, the applicant shall have the burden of providing clear, competent and material evidence in support of the application. Hearings may be continued, at the sole discretion of the Board

Chairman, to permit the applicant to provide additional, missing or incomplete information, when requested, to aid the Board of Adjustment in reaching a proper determination and/or to permit the Board to independently obtain such information. All reasonable expenses incurred by the Town for investigating and processing the matters before the Board of Adjustment are the responsibility of the applicant. These expenses may include, but are not limited to, the solicitation of Professional Engineers' Services, legal advice, expenses of public hearing(s) and the like; and shall be paid in full prior to delivery of the final notification of Board action for the subject matter.

Should be 11.4.7

11.4.6 The Board of Adjustment shall have no authority to issue a variance for a ~~wavier~~ **waiver** of a setback or bulk requirement for a building or structure if the applicant had not first submitted a foundation survey (in accordance with Section 10.3.8) subsequent to the construction of the foundation and prior to the application for a Certificate of Compliance.

Section 11.5 Board of Adjustment Action

11.5.1 The concurrent vote of four-fifths (4/5) of the five (5) sitting members of the Board of Adjustments shall be necessary to **grant any variance from the provisions of this Ordinance. A majority of the members shall be required to** reverse any order, requirement, decision or determination of the Zoning Administrator, or to decide in favor of the applicant on any matter upon which it is required to pass under this Ordinance ~~or to grant any variance from the provisions of this Ordinance.~~ At least one of the sitting members shall be the Chairman or the Vice-Chairman. For the purposes of this subsection, vacant positions on the board and members who are disqualified from voting on a quasi-judicial matter shall not be considered members of the board for calculation of the requisite supermajority if there are no qualified alternates available to take the place of such members.

11.5.4 All decisions of the Board of Adjustment shall be filed with the Zoning Administrator and a written copy thereof shall be sent **delivered by first class mail, personal delivery, or electronic mail** to the applicant, **property owner and to any person who has submitted a written request for a copy, prior to the date the decision becomes effective** by first class mail within fourteen (14) calendar days following the decision, or after receipt of payment for processing per Section 11.4.6, whichever occurs later. **The Zoning Administrator shall certify that proper notice has been made.**

Section 11.6 Appeals from the Board of Adjustment

11.6.3 Every decision of the Board of Adjustment shall be subject to review by the Superior Court Division of the General Courts of Justice of the State of North Carolina by proceedings in the nature of certiorari **pursuant to NCGS 160A-**

~~393. Any petition for review by the Superior Court shall be duly verified and filed with the Clerk of Superior Court within **by the later of** thirty (30) days after the decision **is effective** of the Board is filed with the Zoning Administrator, or after a written copy thereof is **given in accordance with 11.5.4. When first-class mail is used to deliver notice, three (3) days shall be added to the time to file the petition.** delivered to every aggrieved party who has filed a written request for such copy with the staff of the Board of Adjustment at the time of its hearing of the case, whichever is later. The decision of the Board of Adjustment shall be delivered to the aggrieved party either by personal service or by first class mail.~~

Section 11.7 Administration of Oaths to Witnesses

~~The Chairman of the Board of Adjustment or the Vice Chairman **any member acting as Chairman and the Clerk to the Board shall be authorized to** when temporarily acting as Chairman, shall be authorized in his/her official capacity to administer oaths to witnesses in any manner **matter** coming before the Board.~~

Section 11.8 Subpoenas

~~**The Board of Adjustment through the Chair, or in the Chair's absence anyone acting as Chair, may subpoena witnesses and compel the production of evidence. To request issuance of a subpoena, persons with standing under G.S. 160A-393(d) may make a written request to the Chair explaining why it is necessary for certain witnesses or evidence to be compelled. The Chair shall issue requested subpoenas he or she determines to be relevant, reasonable in nature and scope, and not oppressive. The Chair shall rule on any motion to quash or modify a subpoena. Decisions regarding subpoenas made by the Chair may be appealed to the full Board of Adjustment.**~~

Section 11.89 Rules of Procedure

All meetings and hearings shall be open to the public and shall be conducted in accordance with the procedure set forth in these regulations and supplemental rules of procedure adopted by the Board of Adjustment. Such rules of procedures may be amended by the Board of Adjustment membership at any time.

The rules of procedure adopted by the Board of Adjustment to govern its actions shall be kept on file at the offices of the Zoning Administrator and the Town Clerk, and shall be made available to the public at any meeting or hearing of the Board of Adjustment.

Section 11.910 Staff

The Zoning Administrator shall serve as staff to the Board of Adjustment and shall provide technical assistance to the Board of Adjustment as requested.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO
ARTICLE 6

Section 6.4 Town Council Decision

If the Town Council should find, after conducting a public hearing, that the proposed Conditional Use Permit and, where requested, rezoning should be granted, the Town Council may impose such additional reasonable and appropriate special conditions upon such Conditional Use Permit, as it may deem necessary. In no instance shall any of these conditions be less restrictive than any requirements which would pertain to that particular development found in the same zoning district. Any conditions should relate to the relationship of the proposed use to surrounding property, proposed support facilities such as parking areas and driveways, pedestrian and vehicular circulation systems, screening and buffer areas, the timing of development and other matters that the Town Council may find appropriate or the petitioner may propose. The conditions may include sign controls but may not include architectural review or control. The screening provisions of this Ordinance shall be minimum screening requirements as a condition for awarding a CUP, however, the Town Council may impose additional reasonable screening requirements as a condition for awarding a CUP as the Council considers necessary to protect the health, safety and welfare in accordance with the purpose and intent of this Ordinance. The petitioner will have a reasonable opportunity to consider and respond to any additional requirements prior to approval or denial by the Town Council. The Town Council shall give due regard to the intent and purpose of this section of the Ordinance and that the public health, safety and welfare will be secured and substantial justice done.

The Town Council shall determine contested facts and make its decision within a reasonable time. The written decision shall be signed by the Mayor or other duly authorized member of the Town Council and shall be effective upon filing the written decision with the Town Clerk. The decision of the board shall be delivered by personal delivery, electronic mail, or by first-class mail to the applicant, property owner, and to any person who has submitted a written request for a copy, prior to the date the decision becomes effective. The Town Clerk shall certify that proper notice has been made.

6.4.4 Appeal of Town Council Decision on CUP

Every CUP decision of the Town Council shall be subject to review by the Superior Court by proceedings in the nature of certiorari. Any petition for review by the Superior Court shall be filed with the appropriate Clerk of Superior Court within thirty (30) days after the decision of the Town Council is filed in the Office of the Town Clerk, or after a written copy thereof is delivered to every aggrieved party who has filed a written request for such copy with the Clerk at the time of the hearing of the case, whichever is later. The decision of the Town Council may be delivered to the aggrieved party either by personal service or by registered mail or certified mail return receipt requested.

The written decision shall be signed by the Mayor and will be effective upon filing with the Town Clerk. The written decision shall be delivered by personal delivery, electronic mail, or by first-class mail to the applicant, property owner, and to any person who has submitted a written request for a copy prior to the date the decision became effective. The Town Clerk shall certify that proper notice has been made.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO **ARTICLE 10**

10.3.6 Right of Appeal

The applicant may appeal the Zoning Administrator's denial of any such zoning permit to the Board of Adjustment. Appeal of denial of a zoning permit must be made in writing and must specify the grounds thereof and said appeal must be received by the Zoning Administrator and the Town Clerk within ~~ten (10)~~ **thirty (30)** days of receipt by the applicant of the written notice of denial of a zoning permit application, or the passage of the time period specified in Section 10.3.2 which constitutes denial has occurred.

10.3.9 Constructive Notice of Decision

The applicant or landowner may provide constructive notice of a decision made by the Zoning Administrator by prominently posting a sign on the property that is the subject of the decision containing the words "Zoning Decision" or "Subdivision Decision" in letters at least six (6) inches high for at least ten (10) days. Posting the subject property shall be the responsibility of the landowner or applicant. Verification of posting shall be provided to the Zoning Administrator.

10.4.4 Appeal

The applicant may appeal the Zoning Administrator's denial of any such Certificate of Compliance to the Board of Adjustment. Appeal of denial of a Certificate of Compliance must be made in duplicate written form, state the grounds thereof, and be received by the Zoning Administrator and the Town Clerk within ~~ten (10)~~ **thirty (30)** days of applicant's receipt of written notice of denial of said Certificate of Compliance.

TOWN OF MINERAL SPRINGS

STATEMENT OF REASONABLENESS AND CONSISTENCY

Board of Adjustment Regulations

In reference to the proposed text amendments to Articles 6, 10 & 11 of the Mineral Springs Zoning Ordinance.

The Town of Mineral Springs hereby declares the proposed text amendments to be “**reasonable**” as they follow the North Carolina General Statutes adopted in October of 2013.

The Town of Mineral Springs hereby declares the proposed text amendments to be “**consistent**” the Mineral Springs Land Use Plan and Vision Plan contained therein, which specifically calls for periodic review to make sure that the Zoning Ordinance is as up-to-date as possible.

ADOPTED this the 14th day of November, 2013.

Frederick Becker, III, Mayor

Attest:

Vicky A. Brooks, CMC

MEMO

To: Mineral Springs Town Council
From: Rick Becker
Date: November 8, 2013
Subject: "Coffee with the Mayor"

Several years ago, Stallings mayor Lynda Paxton initiated what she called a "Coffee with the Mayor" program. It involved scheduling informal meetings, usually in the early morning, where she would meet with any residents who wished to attend. Residents could ask questions which Mayor Paxton would answer (to the best of her ability), or residents could simply listen.

Later, Mayor Paxton used some of the "Coffee with the Mayor" events to bring in outside experts, such as the county tax administrator or the public works director, to make short presentations on their duties and to answer residents' questions.

During a recent campaign, I heard from many residents that they would like an opportunity to learn more about our town's issues and operations on an informal basis. I thought of Mayor Paxton's program, and am asking Council to consider approving something similar in Mineral Springs. I could schedule "Coffee with the Mayor" events every month or two (literally providing coffee and donuts if Council approved the expenditure), using the town hall conference room on a day that it wasn't open for normal business. In fact, I would like to occasionally schedule a Saturday morning event.

I am seeking Council's advice on and approval for such a program. Obviously, since this is not part of the statutory duties of the mayor, it is Council that would be responsible for approving it. Also, if town hall is to be used, Council must approve of that use as specified by our Town Hall Use Ordinance.